



# **TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan, G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad 500 045**

## **ORDER ON TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24**

**For**

**Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited  
(TGGENCO)**

**28.03.2026**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>CHAPTER-1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	3
1.2 TGGENCO.....	3
1.3 REGULATION NO. 1 OF 2019 .....	4
1.4 APPROVAL OF TRUE-UP OF 3RD CONTROL PERIOD (FY 2014-15 TO FY 2018-19), DETERMINATION OF CAPITAL COST FOR NEW STATIONS, APPROVAL OF BUSINESS PLAN, CAPITAL INVESTMENT PLAN, ARR AND MYT FOR 4TH CONTROL PERIOD (FY 2019-20 TO FY 2023-24) .....	4
1.5 MID-TERM REVIEW AND TRUING UP OF THE GENERATION TARIFF FOR THE FY 2019-20 TO FY 2021-22, AND REVISED TARIFF FOR FY 2022-23 AND FY 2023-24 .....	4
1.6 APPROVAL OF TRUE UP FOR FY 2022-23 AND MULTI YEAR TARIFF (MYT) FOR FY 2024-25 TO FY 2028-29.....	4
1.7 TIMELINES FOR FILING OF TRUE UP PETITION BY GENERATING ENTITY AS PER REGULATION NO.2 OF 2023 .....	5
1.8 ORDER IN I. A. No. 27 OF 2025 IN O. P. No. 19 OF 2024 DATED 02.05.2025 FOR EXTENSION OF TIMELINES FOR FILING OF TRUE UP PETITION BY GENERATING ENTITY.....	5
1.9 PRESENT PETITIONS.....	5
1.10 ADMISSION OF PETITION .....	6
1.11 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION .....	6
1.12 PUBLIC NOTICE.....	6
1.13 RESPONSE TO PUBLIC NOTICE .....	6
1.14 PUBLIC HEARING.....	7
<b>CHAPTER-2 SUMMARY OF FILINGS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 PETITIONER'S SUBMISSIONS .....	8
2.2 TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24.....	8
2.3 ENERGY CHARGES FOR THE PERIOD FY 2023-24.....	12
2.4 INCENTIVE.....	13
2.5 PRAYER OF TGGENCO .....	13
<b>CHAPTER-3 OBJECTIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS, REPLIES OF PETITIONERS AND ANALYSIS &amp; FINDINGS OF THE COMMISSION .....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1 OBJECTIONS/SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS MADE ON FILINGS .....	14
3.2 COMPONENTS OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES.....	14
3.3 CAPITAL COST .....	15
3.4 DEPRECIATION.....	24
3.5 INTEREST AND FINANCE CHARGES ON LOAN.....	26
3.6 INTEREST ON WORKING CAPITAL (IOWC) .....	28
3.7 PRC-2022 IMPACT FOR FY 2022-23 .....	32
3.8 OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES (O&M) .....	35
EMPLOYEE EXPENSES: .....	37
R&M EXPENSES: .....	38
A&G EXPENSES: .....	39
3.9 RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE) .....	50
3.10 NON-TARIFF INCOME .....	52
3.11 ADDITIONAL PENSION LIABILITIES & WATER CHARGES.....	56
3.12 SUMMARY OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES FOR FY 2023-24 .....	57
3.13 ENERGY CHARGES.....	61
3.14 SUMMARY OF TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24.....	66
3.15 SHARING OF GAINS/LOSSES.....	68
3.16 AFC REDUCTION DUE TO NON-AVAILABILITY.....	69
3.17 INCENTIVE .....	72
3.18 ADJUSTMENT OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES RECOVERY, INCENTIVES, AND ENERGY CHARGES	

**ANNEXURE-I: NEWS PAPER PUBLICATION OF PUBLIC NOTICE OF TGGENCO TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24 ..... 76**

**ANNEXURE-II: NEWS PAPER PUBLICATION OF PUBLIC NOTICE OF TGGENCO TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24 (RESCHEDULING OF PUBLIC HEARING) ..... 82**

**ANNEXURE-III: LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS WHO SUBMITTED WRITTEN OBJECTIONS/SUGGESTIONS ..... 83**

**ANNEXURE-IV: LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS WHO PARTICIPATED IN PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 17.09.2025 ..... 83**



### List of Tables

Table 2.1: GFA & Additional Capitalisation claimed for FY 2023-24.....	9
Table 2.2: Component-wise Fixed Charges claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	10
Table 2.3: Station-wise Fixed Charges claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	11
Table 2.4: Energy Charges claimed for FY 2023-24.....	12
Table 2.5: True up claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	12
Table 3.1: KTPS-VII Stage-Additional Capitalization claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	15
Table 3.2: BTPS- Additional Capitalisation claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	16
Table 3.3: Additional Capitalisation as approved for FY 2023-24 .....	23
Table 3.4: GFA details as approved for FY 2023-24.....	23
Table 3.5: Depreciation claimed for FY 2023-24.....	24
Table 3.6: Depreciation as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24 .....	25
Table 3.7: Interest and Finance Charges on Loan claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	27
Table 3.8: I&FC on Loan as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24 .....	28
Table 3.9: Interest on Working Capital (IoWC) claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	29
Table 3.10: IoWC on Loan as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24 .....	31
Table 3.11: PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23 as claimed .....	32
Table 3.12: PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23 as claimed and approved .....	35
Table 3.13: Component-wise, O&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	35
Table 3.14: O&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24.....	36
Table 3.15: Employee Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24.....	37
Table 3.16: PRC-2022 Impact claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	38
Table 3.17: R&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24.....	38
Table 3.18: A&G Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	39
Table 3.19: Employee cost computed by the Commission for FY 2023-24 .....	43
Table 3.20: Employee cost claimed, computed and approved for FY 2023-24 (Rs. in Crore) .....	44
Table 3.21: A&G expenses computed for FY 2023-24.....	46
Table 3.22: A&G expenses claimed and approved for FY 2023-24 .....	46
Table 3.23: Recomputed Normative R&M expenses at for FY 2023-24 .....	47
Table 3.24: R&M expenses claimed and approved for FY 2023-24 .....	48
Table 3.25: O&M Expenses claimed and approved FY 2023-24.....	49
Table 3.26: Return on Equity claimed for FY 2023-24.....	50
Table 3.27: Return on Equity including Income Tax as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24.....	52
Table 3.28: Non- Tariff Income claimed for FY 2023-24 .....	52
Table 3.29: Non-Tariff Income as approved for FY 2023-24.....	55
Table 3.30: Other Charges approved for FY 2023-24.....	57
Table 3.31: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2023-24 .....	57
Table 3.32: Component-wise AFC & Other Charges approved for FY 2023-24.....	60
Table 3.33: AFC & Other Charges claimed vs approved for FY 2023-24 .....	61
Table 3.34: Energy Charges for FY 2023-24.....	62
Table 3.35: True up for FY 2023-24 claimed .....	66
Table 3.36: Summary of True up Charges approved for FY 2023-24 .....	68
Table 3.37: Summary of approved sharing of gain/loss to the beneficiaries .....	68



## TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

'Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan', G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad 500 045

**Dated 28.03.2026**

Present

Dr. Justice Devaraju Nagarjun, Chairman  
Sri Raghu Kancharla, Member (Technical)  
Sri Cherukuri Srinivasa Rao, Member (Finance)

**O.P.No.43 of 2025**

**Between:**

Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited

... **Applicant**

**AND**

1. Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited,  
Corporate Office, 6-1-50, Mint Compound, Hyderabad 500 004.
2. Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Limited  
H.No.2-5-31/2, Corporate Office, Vidyut Bhavan,  
Nakkalagutta, Hanumakonda, Warangal 506 001.
3. ESCOMs of Karnataka State –  
BESCOM, Bangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited,  
KR Circle, Bangalore 560 001.  
MESCOM, Mangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited,  
Paradigm Plaza, AB Shetty circle, Mangalore 575 004.  
CESC, Chamundeshwari Electricity Supply Company Limited,  
Hinkal, Mysore 570 017.  
HESCOM, Hubli Electricity Supply Company Limited,  
Hubli 580 025.  
GESCOM, Gulbarga Electricity Supply Company Limited,  
Kalaburagi 585 102;

... **Respondents**

Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited (hereinafter referred as "TGGENCO") filed a petition on 23.06.2025 under section 62 of the Electricity Act,

2003 and under the provisions of the Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019 for approval of True up for FY 2023-24 for the Generation business for the existing thermal & hydel stations of TGGENCO.

The Commission, in exercise of its powers under the Electricity Act, 2003, Regulation No. 1 of 2019 and after considering Petitioner's submissions, additional submissions, suggestions, comments and objections of other stakeholders, responses of Petitioner on issues that are raised during the Public Hearing and all other relevant material, passed the following:



**ORDER**  
**CHAPTER-1**  
**INTRODUCTION**

**1.1 Background**

**The Commission**

- 1.1.1 Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission was constituted by the Government of Telangana (GoT) in terms of the provisions of Schedule XII(C)(3) of the A.P. Reorganisation Act of 2014, read with Section 82(1) of the Electricity Act, 2003 vide G.O.Ms.No.3, (Energy) (Budget) Department, dated 26.07.2014. Of late, the Government of Telangana issued G.O.Ms.No.12, Energy (HR. A1) Department, dated 31.05.2024 for change of nomenclature and amended the expression and abbreviation as 'Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC).
- 1.1.2 This Commission having been established u/s 82(1) of the Act, 2003 is required to exercise the powers and functions vested in it in terms of Section 86(1)(a) and Section 62(1) of the Act, 2003 to determine the tariff for supply of electricity by a generating company to a distribution licensee.

**1.2 TGGENCO**

- 1.2.1 Consequent upon formation of the state of Telangana and its coming into being with effect from 02.06.2014, the Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited was established as a company registered under Companies Act 2013 on 19.05.2014 upon coming into force of the Andhra Pradesh Reorganization Act, 2014.
- 1.2.2 Pursuant to the Reorganisation Act, the then APGenCo was demerged and the assets & liabilities pertaining to the generating stations in Telangana were transferred to TGGenco in terms of the transfer scheme notified in G.O.Ms.No.29 dated 31.05.2014. The provisional valuation of assets and liabilities are based on the accounts as on 31.03.2014, pending finalisation of valuation of assets and liabilities as on 01.06.2014.
- 1.2.3 In exercise of the powers conferred under schedule XII(c)(3) of AP Reorganization Act, 2014 under Section 92 of A.P. Reorganization Act, 2014, read with Section 82 of the Electricity Act, the Government of Telangana (GoT) has constituted Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC) vide G.O.Ms. No.3, Energy (Budget), dated 26.07.2014, which came into existence on 03.11.2014.

1.2.4 In the wake of the State Government issuing U.O. Note No.4634/Genl, L&C/ 2024, dated 17.05.2024 to replace all references to “TS” with “TG” in the nomenclature of all State PSUs, Agencies, Autonomous Institutions and other government bodies, the TSGENCO has been replaced the abbreviated form of the company from TSGENCO to TGGENCO and also to use the revised logo in all official documents.

### **1.3 Regulation No. 1 of 2019**

1.3.1 The Regulation No. 1 of 2019 notified on 01.02.2019 viz., ' (Terms and conditions for determination of Generation Tariff) Regulation, 2019 specified the principles and procedures of filings and also defines control period as a multi-year period fixed by the Commission from time to time, usually five (5) years i.e., FY 2019-20 to FY 2023- 24.

### **1.4 Approval of True-up of 3rd Control Period (FY 2014-15 to FY 2018-19), Determination of Capital cost for new stations, Approval of Business Plan, Capital Investment Plan, ARR and MYT for 4th control period (FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24)**

1.4.1 TGGenco has made the filings for determination of tariff in respect of generating stations for Approval of True-up of 3<sup>rd</sup> Control Period (FY 2014-15 to FY 2018-19), Determination of Capital cost for new stations, Approval of Business Plan, Capital Investment Plan, ARR and MYT for each Financial Year within 4th control period (FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24) on 03.02.2021. Whereas, after comprehensive public consultation process on the filings, the Commission has passed Order on 22.03.2022 in O.P. No. 5 & 6 of 2021 & I.A. No. 1 of 2021.

### **1.5 Mid-Term Review and Truing up of the Generation Tariff for the FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22, and Revised Tariff for FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24**

1.5.1 The Commission vide its Order dated 23.03.2023 in O.P.Nos.78 of 2022 has passed Order on Mid-Term Review and Truing up of the Generation Tariff for the FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22, and Revised Tariff for FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 for existing stations of TGGENCO (MTR Order dated 23.03.2023).

### **1.6 Approval of True up for FY 2022-23 and Multi Year Tariff (MYT) for FY 2024-25 To FY 2028-29.**

1.6.1 The Commission passed Order dated 28.10.2024 in O.P.No.19 of 2024 & I.A. No 18 of 2024, for Approval of True up for FY 2022-23 and MYT For FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 for TGGENCO (MYT Order dated 28.10.2024).

### **1.7 Timelines for Filing of True up Petition by Generating entity as per Regulation No.2 of 2023**

1.7.1 As per clause 6.2.(d) of Regulation No. 02 of 2023, TGGenco has to make Annual petition comprising of (i) True-up of preceding year for generating business; (ii) True-up of preceding year for integrated mine; (iii) proposal of Revised Tariff for ensuing year of the Control Period for generation business; and (iv) proposal of Revised input price of coal supplied from integrated mine for the ensuing year of the control period by 30.11.2024.

### **1.8 Order in I. A. No. 27 of 2025 In O. P. No. 19 of 2024 Dated 02.05.2025 for extension of timelines for Filing of True up Petition by Generating entity.**

1.8.1 The TGGENCO filed interlocutory application in petition seeking time for filing of true-up for the FY 2023-24 for the existing stations of the TGGENCO. The TGGENCO stated that on account of enforcement of Model Code of Conduct in connection to the biennial elections to the Telangana Legislative Council for graduates and teachers constituencies 2025, the application for true up could not be filed.

1.8.2 The Commission on perusal of the records allowed Interlocutory Application No. 27 of 2025 In O. P. No. 19 of 2024 and issued orders on 02.05.2025 directing the TGGENCO to submit true-up of FY 2023-24 for generation business as per clause 3.13.1 of Regulation 1 of 2019 and clause 6.2 (d) of Regulation 2 of 2023 within 2 months from the date of the order.

### **1.9 Present Petitions**

1.9.1 TGGenco has filed the present petition on 23.06.2025 with the following prayers:

- a) Accept and take on record the Petition for truing up of the generation tariff for FY 2023-24 under section 62/64 and 86 (1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation No. 2 of 2023 and Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019.
- b) To grant suitable opportunity to TGGENCO within reasonable time frame to file additional material information, if required.
- c) Condone any error/ omission and give opportunity to rectify the same.

### **1.10 Admission of Petition**

1.10.1 The Commission admitted the filings and the same were taken on record by assigning O.P.No.43 of 2025.

### **1.11 Additional Information**

1.11.1 The Commission has found certain data gaps and directed the TGGENCO to furnish additional information. Accordingly, the information was submitted by TGGENCO and the Commission has considered the same while dealing with the present petition.

### **1.12 Public Notice**

1.12.1 The TGGENCO, as directed by the Commission, published a Public Notice in two (2) English, two (2) Telugu and One (1) Urdu daily newspapers on 02.08.2025 (Annexure-I). The Public Notice was to inform stakeholders and the general public at large that TGGENCO has filed petition before the Commission in respect of True up for FY 2023-24 for the existing thermal & hydro generating stations of TGGENCO. It was also notified in the Public Notice that, objections/suggestions/Comments on the filings may be filed with the petitioners by 23.08.2025 with a copy marked to the Commission Secretary. In the Public Notice it was also indicated that the venue of Public Hearing to be held on 12.09.2025 from 11:30 hours onwards is Court Hall of the Commission, 'Vidyut Niyantaran Bhavan', G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad 500 045.

1.12.2 Due to administrative exigencies, the Public Hearing which is originally scheduled for 12.09.2025 has been rescheduled to 17.09.2025 (Annexure-II). The filings submitted by TGGENCO were posted on the official website of TGGenco as well as on the website of the Commission.

### **1.13 Response to Public Notice**

1.13.1 In response to the Public Notice, objections/suggestions/comments were received from Three (3) stakeholders. The details of stakeholders who submitted objections/ suggestions/comments is enclosed as Annexure-III.

1.13.2 The petitioners were directed to arrange responses to written objections/suggestions/comments received from the stakeholders by 30.08.2025. It was also directed to post replies on the TGGENCO website.

1.13.3 The filings of the TGGENCO along with the additional information,

objections/suggestions/comments, replies submitted by TGGENCO were posted on the official website of TGGENCO as well as on the website of the Commission.

### 1.14 Public Hearing

1.14.1 The Commission has conducted the Public Hearing on 17.09.2025 at TGERC Court Hall, Vidyut Nyantran Bhavan, GTS Colony, Hyderabad. During the Public Hearing, the TGGENCO has made brief presentation on their filings and then the Commission heard the objectors desiring to be heard in person. Out of 3 objectors who filed objections, One objector was present in public hearing. At the end, as directed by the Commission, the Petitioners responded to the issues raised during the Public Hearing. The List of the Stakeholder who attended the Public Hearing is enclosed at Annexure-IV.



## **CHAPTER-2 SUMMARY OF FILINGS**

### **2.1 Petitioner's Submissions**

2.1.1 The petitioner filed the petition for True up for FY 2023-24 for existing thermal and hydel stations. The Summary of the filings is detailed below:

### **2.2 True up for FY 2023-24**

2.2.1 As per Clause No. 6.2 (d) of TGERC Regulation No. 2 of 2023 the Annual tariff Petition shall comprise of the following:

- i. True-up of preceding year for Generation business (FY 2023-24);
- ii. True-up of preceding year for integrated mine (FY 2023-24);
- iii. Proposal of Revised Tariff for ensuing year of the Control Period for generation business (FY: 2025-26);
- iv. Proposal of Revised Input Price of coal supplied from integrated mine for the ensuing year of the Control Period (FY: 2025-26).

2.2.2 Proposal for Revised ARR for FY 2025-26 for TGGENCO was submitted on 24.02.2025. The Commission after conducting hearings has issued Order dated 29.04.2025 on Revised ARR for FY 2025-26 for TGGENCO.

2.2.3 Further, Interlocutory Application for Extension of Time for filing the True-up petition of FY 2023-24 for Generation Business of existing Thermal &Hydel Stations of TGGENCO was submitted to the Commission and Order was issued on 02.05.2025 on I.A. No. 27 of 2025 in OP No. 19 of 2024 and directed TGGENCO to submit True-up of FY 2023-24 for Generation Business of existing Thermal &Hydel Stations of TGGENCO as per clause 3.13.1 of Regulation 1 of 2019 and clause 6.2(d) of Regulation 2 of 2023 within 2 months from the date of the order.

2.2.4 In accordance with the directions of the Commission the True-up petition of FY 2023-24 for Generation Business of existing Thermal &Hydel Stations of TGGENCO was submitted on 23.06.2025.

2.2.5 The entire energy availability (100%) of Thermal and Hydel Stations has been allocated to the TGDISCOMs except Priyadarshini Jurala HES (6x39MW).

2.2.6 In respect of Priyadarshini Jurala HES the PPA has been entered between the erstwhile APDISCOMs and ESCOMs of Karnataka and erstwhile

APGENCO separately. The energy generated and the fixed charges shall be shared in the ratio of 50:50 among TGDISCOMs and ESCOMs of Karnataka.

2.2.7 The status of Power Purchase Agreements entered is submitted in the petition.

### Capital Cost

2.2.8 TGGENCO submitted that the opening Gross Fixed Assets (GFA) as on 01.04.2022 is considered as approved by the Commission in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023. The opening GFA for FY 2023-24 is considered by addition of approved additional capitalization for FY 2022-23 in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024. The additional capitalization for FY 2023-24 is claimed as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2023-24. The GFA and additional capitalization for FY 2022-23 to FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 2.1: GFA & Additional Capitalisation claimed for FY 2023-24**

<i>(Rs.in Crore)</i>					
S.No.	Station	GFA as on 1.04.2022	Additions for FY 2022-23 Approved in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024	GFA as on 1.04.2023	Additions FY 2023-24 (Actual)
1	KTPS-V	2,269.87	-	2,269.87	0.17
2	KTPS-VI	2,473.36	(0.13)	2,473.23	-
3	KTPS-VII	5,022.07	72.33	5,094.40	14.73
4	RTS-B	127.04	-	127.04	0.04
5	KTPP-I	2,548.83	-	2,548.83	0.14
6	KTPP-II	3,767.77	(6.50)	3,761.27	8.19
7	BTPS	6,946.29	312.83	7,259.12	186.16
8	Nagarjuna Sagar Complex	1,920.80	-	1,920.80	2.66
9	Srisailam LB	3,375.71	-	3,375.71	8.50
10	Small Hydrel	121.79	0.10	121.89	0.05
11	Mini Hydrel	31.23	-	31.23	0.04
12	Pochampad- II	29.74	-	29.74	-
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	690.68	-	690.68	1.82
14	Lower Jurala	1,627.47	4.11	1,631.58	4.23
15	Pulichintala	440.68	0.04	440.72	0.04
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31,393.33</b>	<b>382.78</b>	<b>31,776.11</b>	<b>226.77</b>

2.2.9 Against the claimed additions of Rs.226.77Cr, the additional capitalization claimed for KTPS-VII Stage and BTPS is of Rs.14.73Cr and Rs.186.16 Cr. respectively and are under original project scope.

2.2.10 The details of approved additional capital cost in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and additional capitalisation claimed by TGGENCO are as shown below:

**KTPS-VII:** The additional capital cost incurred for the 4<sup>th</sup> Control period is of Rs. 506.26 Crore against Rs. 1316.97 Crore approved in Capital Investment Plan and MYT order dated 22.03.2022 for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period. Some of the works such as Quarters, FGD etc. are spilled over to the 5<sup>th</sup> Control period.

**BTPS:** The additional capital cost claimed for the 4<sup>th</sup> Control period is of Rs. 7445.28 Cr against Rs. 8817.97 Cr approved in Mid-Term Review Petition for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period. Some of the works such as Quarters etc. are spill over to the 5<sup>th</sup> Control period.

**KTPP-II:** Approved additional capitalisation in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022 for KTPP-II is Rs 29.59 Cr for FY 2023-24. The actual additional capitalisation of Rs. 8.19 Crore for FY 2023-24 as per annual accounts is claimed by the petitioner.

**LJHES:** Approved additional capitalisation in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022 for LJHES is Rs 16.14 Cr for FY 2023-24. The actual additional capitalisation of Rs. 4.23 Cr for FY 2023-24 as per annual accounts is claimed by the petitioner.

2.2.11 The summary of component-wise, AFC and other charges claimed by the TGGENCO for FY 2023-24 is shown below:

**Table 2.2: Component-wise Fixed Charges claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sr.No.	Stations	O & M	Dep.	I&FC	IoWC	RoE	Less: NTI	AFC
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>2,106.82</b>	<b>1,014.94</b>	<b>789.75</b>	<b>386.14</b>	<b>1,468.88</b>	<b>100.44</b>	<b>5,666.09</b>
1	KTPS V	272.29	28.14	-	46.22	141.05	10.35	477.35
2	KTPS VI	272.29	124.92	-	45.65	153.68	10.35	586.19
3	KTPS VII	548.25	258.69	240.87	89.10	317.02	13.05	1,440.88
4	RTS-B	100.26	19.44	-	8.19	7.90	1.71	134.08
5	KTPP I	215.58	17.49	-	41.40	158.39	26.55	406.31
6	KTPP II	258.69	194.41	129.47	55.77	233.98	31.86	840.46
7	BTPS	439.46	371.85	419.41	99.81	456.86	6.57	1,780.82
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>579.01</b>	<b>249.08</b>	<b>157.91</b>	<b>39.80</b>	<b>536.34</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>1,558.58</b>
8	NSHES	184.80	93.51	13.08	11.55	127.15	1.15	428.94
9	SLBHES	179.44	58.14	46.46	12.94	223.58	1.48	519.08

Sr.No.	Stations	O & M	Dep.	I&FC	IoWC	RoE	Less: NTI	AFC	
10	Small Hydel	49.68	1.03	-	2.19	8.06	0.12	60.84	
11	Mini Hydel	8.42	0.34	0.10	0.39	1.94	0.02	11.17	
12	Pochampad- II	8.27	0.58	0.95	0.40	1.97	0.02	12.15	
13	PJHES	51.19	13.44	16.74	3.34	42.97	0.28	127.40	
14	LJHES	51.66	72.50	56.56	6.05	101.52	0.28	288.01	
15	PCHEs	45.55	9.54	24.02	2.94	29.15	0.21	110.99	
<b>C</b>	<b>Total (A+B)</b>	<b>2,685.83</b>	<b>1,264.02</b>	<b>947.66</b>	<b>425.94</b>	<b>2,005.22</b>	<b>104.0</b>	<b>7,224.67</b>	
D	Less: Non-Tariff Income from sale of scrap realised from KTPS-O&M								66.95
E	Additional Pension Liability								1,306.78
F	Water charges								17.97
<b>G</b>	<b>Total (G=C-D+E+F)</b>								<b>8,482.47</b>
H	PRC Impact								271.69
<b>I</b>	<b>Total (I=G+H)</b>								<b>8,754.16</b>

2.2.12 The summary of the station-wise, AFC and other charges claimed by the TGGENCO for FY 2023-24 are shown below:

**Table 2.3: Station-wise Fixed Charges claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order (a)	Claimed (b)	Variation (c)=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	382.53	487.70	105.17
2	KTPS-VI	497.88	596.54	98.66
3	KTPS-VII	1345.41	1453.93	108.52
4	RTS-B	117.82	135.79	17.97
5	KTPP-I	419.87	432.86	12.99
6	KTPP-II	749.19	872.32	123.12
7	BTPS	1419.88	1787.39	367.52
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	326.65	430.09	103.43
9	Srisailam LB HES	436.66	520.56	83.89
10	Small Hydel	53.10	60.96	7.88
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	9.59	11.19	1.60
12	Pochampad- II HES	9.53	12.17	2.64
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	101.24	127.68	26.45
14	Lower Jurala HES	263.32	288.29	24.97
15	Pulichintala HES	92.87	111.20	18.32
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>6225.54</b>	<b>7328.67</b>	<b>1,103.13</b>
	Non-Tariff Income (-)	(35.99)	(170.95)	(134.96)
	<b>Fixed Charges</b>	<b>6189.55</b>	<b>7157.72</b>	<b>968.17</b>
	Additional Pension Liability	1168.08	1,306.78	138.70

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order (a)	Claimed (b)	Variation (c)=(b)-(a)
	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	(50.35)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7425.95</b>	<b>8482.47</b>	<b>1056.52</b>
	PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23		271.69	271.69
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7425.95</b>	<b>8754.16</b>	<b>1328.21</b>

### 2.3 Energy Charges for the period FY 2023-24

2.3.1 Energy Charges have been computed in accordance with Clause No. 21 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019. The actual values of Coal rate, oil rate, GCV and Normative operating parameters viz., Auxiliary consumption, Gross Station Heat Rate and Secondary Fuel Consumption are considered for computation of approved Energy charges.

**Table 2.4: Energy Charges claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Station	As per FCA Bill Normative	Actual	Variation
KTPS-V	1289.36	1213.834	-75.53
KTPS-VI	1259.70	1118.084	-141.61
KTPS-VII	2013.86	1964.284	-49.57
RTS-B	103.17	122.6103	19.44
KTPP-I	1235.72	1133.637	-102.08
KTPP-II	1445.26	1366.841	-78.42
BTPS	2094.60	2287.688	193.09
<b>Total</b>	<b>9441.67</b>	<b>9206.98</b>	<b>-234.69</b>

2.3.2 The True-up charges claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below.

**Table 2.5: True up claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No	Component	Approved/ Normative (a)	Claimed (b)	Variation (c)=(b)-(a)
1	Fixed Charges	6189.55	7157.72	968.17
2	Additional Pension Liability	1168.08	1306.78	138.70
3	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	-50.35
4	Energy Charges	9441.67	9206.98	-234.69
5	PRC for FY: 2022-23*	--	271.69	271.69
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16867.62</b>	<b>17961.14</b>	<b>1093.52</b>

2.3.3 TGGENCO claimed the true-up charges for FY 2023-24 including impact of PRC-2022 amounting to Rs. 1,093.52 Crore.

2.3.4 The petitioner has submitted that the Commission shall attribute any variations in performance to factors within control of Petitioner (controllable

factors) or to factors beyond its control (uncontrollable factors). Accordingly actual claim on beneficiaries after sharing of gains and losses as per clause 6.8 and 6.9 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019 is arrived as Rs. 723.32 Crore. However, the claim on beneficiaries shall be adjusted to actual availabilities after determination of true-up by the Commission.

## 2.4 Incentive

2.4.1 Incentive is claimed by the petitioner for the generation beyond the Target Plant Load Factor for Thermal stations at the rates specified in the Regulation 1 of 2019.

## 2.5 Prayer of TGGENCO

2.5.1 The petitioner has submitted the following prayers

- a. Accept and take on record the Petition for truing up of the generation tariff for FY 2023-24 under section 62/64 and 86 (1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation No. 2 of 2023 and Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019.
- b. To grant suitable opportunity within reasonable time frame to file additional material information, if required.
- c. Condone any error/ omission and give opportunity to rectify the same.

**CHAPTER-3**  
**OBJECTIONS OF STAKEHOLDERS, REPLIES OF PETITIONERS AND ANALYSIS**  
**& FINDINGS OF THE COMMISSION**

**3.1 OBJECTIONS/SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS MADE ON FILINGS**

3.1.1 Three (3) stakeholders have filed objections/suggestions/comments on the filings made by TGGENCO for True up of ARR for FY 2023-24 of TGGENCO in O.P. No 43 of 2025. The Commission has considered all the objections/suggestions/comments of the stakeholders made in writing as well as objections raised during the course of Public Hearing and the responses to them by the TGGENCO. For the sake of brevity, the objections/suggestions/comments raised by the stakeholders and responses of the TGGENCO have been consolidated and summarised issue-wise. In case any suggestion is not specifically elaborated, it does not mean that the same has not been considered.

**3.2 COMPONENTS OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES**

3.2.1 In accordance with Clause No. 15 of TGERC Regulation No. 1 of 2019, the Annual Fixed Charges comprise the following elements:

- a. Depreciation.
- b. interest and finance charges on loan.
- c. Interest on Working Capital.
- d. Operation & Maintenance Expenses.
- e. Return on Equity.
- Minus**
- f. Non-Tariff Income.

3.2.2 The Commission has carried truing-up exercise in accordance with Regulation No.1 of 2019. In addition to details submitted in petition, the Commission has also considered the additional submissions made by the petitioner in support of their claim. A copy of audited station wise Cost Audit Report for FY 2023-24 was submitted. The component-wise description of the petitioner's claim, Stakeholder's submission, petitioner's reply and the Commission's analysis & findings thereon are given below:

### 3.3 CAPITAL COST

#### **TGGENCO filings**

3.3.1 TGGENCO submitted that the opening Gross Fixed Assets (GFA) as on 01.04.2022 is considered as approved by the Commission at table. No. 5.2 of MTR Order dated 23.03.2023. The opening GFA for FY 2023-24 is considered by addition of approved additional capitalization for FY 2022-23 at Table No. 4-2 of MYT Order dated 28.10.2024 of the Commission. The additional capitalization for FY 2023-24 is claimed as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2023-24. The Asset-wise capitalisation details were submitted as a part of additional information.

3.3.2 The additions for FY 2023-24 are claimed as per the annual accounts. Against the claimed additions of Rs.226.77 Crore, the additional capitalization claimed for KTPS-VII Stage and BTPS is of Rs.14.73 Crore and Rs.186.16 Crore respectively and are under original project scope.

3.3.3 The details of approved additional capital cost in Multi Year Tariff Order dated 22.03.2022 under MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and TGGENCO claimed additional capitalisation details are as below:

#### **KTPS-VII:**

3.3.4 The additional capitalisation approved for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period in the MYT/MTR/True up orders is as detailed below:

**Table 3.1: KTPS-VII Stage-Additional Capitalization claimed for FY 2023-24 (Rs.in Crore)**

Details	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	Total
Approved vide CIP dt 22.03.2022	249.43	403.67	276.01	193.78	194.08	<b>1316.97</b>
Approved in MTR order dt. 23.03.2023	174.27	191.80	53.13			<b>419.2</b>
True-up and MYT order dt. 28.10.2024				72.33		<b>72.33</b>
Claimed as per Annual Accounts					14.73	<b>14.73</b>

3.3.5 The additional capital cost incurred for the 4<sup>th</sup> Control period is Rs. 506.26 Crore against Rs. 1316.97 Crore approved in Capital Investment Plan and MYT order dated 22.03.2022 for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period. Some of the works such as Quarters, FGD etc. are spill over to the 5<sup>th</sup> Control period.

**BTPS:**

3.3.6 The additional capitalisation approved for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period in the MYT/MTR/True up orders is as detailed below:

**Table 3.2: BTPS- Additional Capitalisation claimed for FY 2023-24****(Rs.in Crore)**

Details	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	Total
Approved in MTR	4871.17	2075.12	1079.28	792.41	<b>8817.97</b>
Approved in MTR order dt. 23.03.2023	4871.17	2075.12			<b>6963.29</b>
True-up and MYT order dt. 28.10.2024			312.83		<b>312.83</b>
Claimed as per Annual Accounts				186.16	<b>186.16</b>

3.3.7 The additional capital cost claimed for the 4<sup>th</sup> Control period is of Rs. 7445.28 Crore. against Rs. 8817.97 Crore (excluding FGD Capital Cost) approved in Mid-Term Review Petition for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period. Some of the works such as Quarters etc. are spill over to the 5<sup>th</sup> Control period.

**KTPP-II:**

3.3.8 The Commission has approved additional capitalisation of KTPP-II for FY 2023-24 for Rs 29.59 Crore in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022. An amount of Rs. 8.19 Crore as per annual accounts is claimed by TGGENCO.

**LJHES:**

3.3.9 The Commission has approved additional capitalisation of LJHES for FY 2023-24 for Rs 16.14 Crore in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022. An Amount of Rs. 4.23 Crore as per annual accounts is claimed by TGGENCO.

3.3.10 The additional capitalisation for the remaining stations is claimed as per the annual accounts.

3.3.11 The GFA and additional capitalization (in Rs. Crore) for FY 2022-23 to FY 2023-24 as claimed are tabulated below:

S.No.	Station	GFA as on 01.04.2022	Additions for FY 2022-23 Approved in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024	GFA as on 01.04.2023	Additions FY 2023-24 (Actual)
1	KTPS-V	2,269.87	-	2,269.87	0.17
2	KTPS-VI	2,473.36	(0.13)	2,473.23	-
3	KTPS-VII	5,022.07	72.33	5,094.40	14.73
4	RTS-B	127.04	-	127.04	0.04

S.No.	Station	GFA as on 01.04.2022	Additions for FY 2022-23 Approved in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024	GFA as on 01.04.2023	Additions FY 2023-24 (Actual)
5	KTPP-I	2,548.83	-	2,548.83	0.14
6	KTPP-II	3,767.77	(6.50)	3,761.27	8.19
7	BTPS	6,946.29	312.83	7,259.12	186.16
8	Nagarjuna Sagar Complex	1,920.80	-	1,920.80	2.66
9	Srisailam LB	3,375.71	-	3,375.71	8.50
10	Small Hydel	121.79	0.10	121.89	0.05
11	Mini Hydel	31.23	-	31.23	0.04
12	Pochampad- II	29.74	-	29.74	-
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	690.68	-	690.68	1.82
14	Lower Jurala	1,627.47	4.11	1,631.58	4.23
15	Pulichintala	440.68	0.04	440.72	0.04
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31,393.33</b>	<b>382.78</b>	<b>31,776.11</b>	<b>226.77</b>

### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.3.12 The Stakeholder has submitted that there are discrepancies between TGGENCO's true up petition and Cost Audit Report for the FY 2023-24. According to the true up petition, at the end of the FY 2023-24 Gross Fixed Assets (GFA) of TGGENCO was Rs. 32,002.88 Crore. But according to Cost Audit Report GFA during the same period was Rs. 53,932.70 Crore, GFA of Rs. 226.77 crore were added. According to the Cost Audit Report during the same period Rs. 5,759.21 Crore were added to GFA. TGGENCO could have uploaded better and legible copies of Cost Audit Report and Annual Accounts Report.

3.3.13 Further, submitted that in the case of discrepancies related to gross fixed assets (GFA) between TGGENCO's true up petition and Cost Audit Report for the FY 2023-24 TGGENCO replied that costs related to capital works in progress (CWIP) were included under GFA. Transition of CWIP to fixed assets shall be after following a certain process. It is to be clarified whether CWIP can be treated as GFA. CWIP costs shown in the Annual Accounts Report includes costs related to YTPS while true up petition did not include costs related to YTPS. This raises the question as to what extent Cost Audit Report and Annual Accounts Report can be used to examine TGGENCO's true up claims for the FY 2023-24.

3.3.14 Another Stakeholder submitted that TGGENCO has claimed a true up of Rs.1093.52 crore after adjusting some deductions against a total claim of Rs.1531.35 crore for true up for FY 2023-24 under multi-year tariff system. A deduction of Rs.50.35 crore for water charges and Rs.234.69 crore of energy charges is shown. After reducing non-tariff income of Rs.134.96 crore, GENCO has claimed a true up of Rs.968.17 crore under fixed charges. Increase in fixed charges is claimed for all the projects. It is despite the fact that additions of gross fixed assets and capitalization for 2023-24 is shown as Rs.226.77 crore only out of which Rs.186.16 crore is for BTPS and Rs.14.73 crore for KTPS VII, as per audited accounts. GENCO has claimed that both are under original scope of both the projects and that works approved to be completed during the 4<sup>th</sup> control period have spilled over to the 5<sup>th</sup> control period. Similar is the case with LJHES for which Rs.4.23 crore is claimed against Rs.16.14 crore approved in the MYT. Despite lower additions of capital cost and capitalization during 2023-24 and adjustment of true-down amounts, GENCO has claimed the said true-up. GENCO has maintained that it has claimed true up as per audited accounts. The questionable implication of the claim of GENCO is that, since the expenditure incurred by it is incorporated in audited accounts, its true-up claims should be approved.

3.3.15 Compared to approvals given in the earlier MYT orders given by the Commission, expenditure is lesser under various heads i.e., by Rs.50.35 crore for water charges, Rs.33.55 crore in depreciation charges, Rs.17.83 crore in interest and finance charges, Rs. 234.69 crore in energy charges, among others. It shows that the estimates made by GENCO and approved in the MYT order have turned out to be inflated. It also shows that, irrespective of such inflated claims, GENCO collected tariffs as determined by the Commission, without adjusting lesser expenditure in the monthly bills relating to supply of power made to the DISCOMs. At the same time, GENCO has claimed higher amounts under various heads such as 46% increase in O&M expenses, 51% increase in Employee Expenses, 23.83% increase in A & G Expenses, 6.42% increase in RoE, 11.87% increase in Pension Liabilities and overall 17.88% increase in Fixed Charges for all projects. GENCO's claims should be subjected to prudence check by the Commission to decide their permissibility or otherwise as per the applicable normative parameters which are liberal in nature

**TGGENCO Replies**

3.3.16 The Gross Fixed Assets (GFA) shown in Cost Audit Report consists of Fixed Assets of Rs.27,314.13 Crore (Note No.3 of Annual Report), Intangible Assets of Rs.39.03 Crore and Capital Work in Progress (CWIP) of Rs.26,579.54 Crore. Further, the additions shown as Rs.5,759.77 Crore also includes additions of CWIP of Rs.5,491.86 Crore apart from GFA additions. (Note No.3 to 5 of Annual Report)

3.3.17 TGGENCO has raised the provisional energy bills on TGDISCOMs as approved by the Commission vide Mid-term order dated. 23.03.2023 for FY 2023-24, duly adjusting the fixed charges to the actual availabilities of the station, as certified by TGSLDC.

3.3.18 The approved fixed charges for FY 2023-24 are provisional. The major variations in fixed charges are on account of O&M Expenses increase on account of PRC 2022 & BTPS Fixed Charges.

3.3.19 The values shown are actual Fixed charges claimed for True up for FY 2023-24. The True-up bill will be raised on TGDISCOMs only after approval of the Commission.

3.3.20 BTPS R&M expenditure approved by the Commission is provisional, whereas True-up claims are as per the audited annual accounts.

3.3.21 Unit-IV (Last unit) of BTPS was commissioned on 09.01.2022 (i.e., FY 2021-22). Hence, all the four units of the station are operationalized from FY2022-23.

3.3.22 In the absence of base expenditure of a full year for projections, the Commission has provisionally approved O&M expenses of Rs.169.55 Crore in Mid-Term Review Order. However, actual O&M expense incurred is Rs.439.46 Crore It is justifiable due to below mentioned reasons:

- As per clause No.35 (1(I)) CERC Regulations 2019, Normative O&M expenses of thermal generation stations of 250MW series is Rs.37.84 Lakhs/ MW were allowed by CERC. If same were considered for BTPS the Normative O&M Expenses would have been Rs.408.672 Crore, whereas, BTPS O&M Expenses claim is of Rs.439.46 Crore at actuals as per Annual Accounts.
- Further, O&M expenses approved by the Commission for the FY 2023-

24, with similar capacity TGGENCO Thermal stations viz. KTPS V & VI (1000 MW) is Rs. 391.40 Crore and KTPP I & II (1100 MW) is Rs. 358.57 Crore.

### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.3.23 The Commission in its MYT Order dated 28.10.2024 for TGGENCO has approved the revised GFA for the FY 2022-23 in accordance with the clause 3.12.6(c) of the Regulation 01 of 2019.

3.3.24 The clauses 7.7, 7.19 of Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates the following:

*“7.7 In the normal course, the Commission shall not revisit the approved capital investment plan during the Control Period. However, during Mid-Term Review, the Commission shall monitor the Year-wise progress of the actual capital expenditure incurred by the Applicant vis-à-vis the approved capital expenditure.*

*Provided that the actual capital expenditure incurred shall be only as per the approved capital investment plan.*

#### *7.19 Additional Capitalisation*

*7.19.1 The capital expenditure actually incurred or projected to be incurred, on the following counts within the original scope of work, after the COD and up to the Cut-Off Date, may be admitted by the Commission subject to Prudence Check. Any additional capitalization after COD needs prior approval of the Commission:*

.....

*7.19.2 The details of works included in the original scope of work along with estimates of expenditure, liabilities recognized to be payable at a future date and the works deferred for execution shall be submitted along with the petition for determination of final tariff after COD of the Generation Unit/Station.*

*7.19.3 Any expenditure, which has been claimed under renovation and modernization or repairs and maintenance under O&M expenses shall not be claimed under this clause.*

*7.19.4 Impact of additional capitalisation on tariff, if any, shall be considered during Mid-term Review or tariff determination for the next Control periods the case may be.*

*7.19.5 Any expenditure on miscellaneous items/assets like normal tools and tackles, personal computers, furniture, air-conditioners, voltage stabilizers, refrigerators, fans, coolers, TV, washing machines, Heat convectors, carpets, mattresses etc., brought after the Cut-Off Date shall not be considered for additional capitalisation for determination of tariff. The said items are illustrated and may include any other similar items”*

3.3.25 The Commission has carried out prudence check of the station-wise GFA Addition/additional capitalisation claimed by the petitioner as per the

TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019 and Audited Annual Accounts for FY 2023-24.

3.3.26 The Commission observed that there is deviation of Rs. 36.74 Crore against the claim of the petitioner for Rs. 226.77 Crore towards the GFA addition during the year. Whereas GFA addition as per Audited Annual Accounts is Rs. 263.51 Crore. The Commission sought justification in this regard and the petitioner clarified that deviation in GFA addition is that YTPS assets of Rs. 35.20 Crore and Head Quarters assets Rs. 1.54 Crore are included in Rs. 263.51 Cr. Further, Assets of Tadicherla coal mine of Rs. 22.86 Crore were re-grouped to intangible assets and are not included in the additions.

3.3.27 Regarding the KTPS-VII, in response to the clarification sought the petitioner submitted that in Form-4 of the Petition for the KTPS-VII station, the value of Rs. 20.23 Crore was entered erroneously against capital spares. The additional capitalisation for FY 2023-24 is of Rs. 14.73 Crore. The petitioner claimed an amount of Rs. 14.73 Crore stating that the GFA additions are under the original scope of work. The petitioner has claimed Rs. 14.73 Crore as per Annual Accounts for FY 2023-24 against Rs. 194.08 Crore approved in the Capital Investment Plan Order dated 22.03.2022.

3.3.28 The Commission has verified details of the works carried out by the petitioner with Audited Annual Accounts and on ascertaining that the completed works during the FY 2023-24 are under the original scope of work and the Commission has approved Rs. 14.73 Crore towards additional capitalisation for KTPS-VII.

3.3.29 Further, for KTPS-VII the petitioner has submitted that the additional capital cost incurred for the 4<sup>th</sup> Control period is Rs. 506.26 Crore against Rs. 1316.97 Crore approved in Capital Investment Plan and MYT order dated 22.03.2022 for 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period. Further, submitted that some of the works such as Quarters, FGD etc. have spilled over to the 5<sup>th</sup> Control period. The Commission will carry out the prudence check as per the applicable regulations as and when petitioner files for such works.

3.3.30 Regarding the BTPS, the Commission has observed that in *Table 3.2: BTPS- Additional Capitalisation claimed for FY 2023-24*, total additional capitalisation approved in MTR Order 23.03.2023 is mis-represented as Rs. 6963.29 Crore, instead of Rs. 6946.29 Crore and the petitioner claimed an amount of Rs. 186.16 Crore stating that the GFA additions are under the original scope of work. The petitioner was asked to clarify reasons for

claiming lesser additional capitalisation against approved additional capital cost for FY 2023-24. The petitioner submitted that the works such as BTPS Railway line, Construction of quarters, and balance EPC works and Non-EPC civil works which are under original project scope, are in progress and spilled over to 5th control period. The capital expenditure incurred during FY 2023-24 is for an amount of Rs. 411.13 crore. The total capital expenditure was not capitalised as the works are under progress.

3.3.31 The Commission has verified details of the works carried out by the petitioner with Audited Annual Accounts and on ascertaining that the completed works during the FY 2023-24 are under the original scope of work and the Commission has approved Rs. 186.16 Crore towards additional capitalisation for BTPS.

3.3.32 Regarding the KTHP-II, the petitioner claimed an amount of Rs. 8.19 Crore against Rs 29.59 Crore approved in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022. The Commission has verified details of the works carried out by the petitioner with Audited Annual Accounts and approved Rs. 8.19 Crore towards additional capitalisation for KTHP-II. Regarding LJHES, the petitioner claimed an amount of Rs. 4.23 Crore against Rs. 16.14 Crore approved in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022. The Commission has verified details of the works carried out by the petitioner with Audited Annual Accounts and approved Rs. 4.23 Crore towards additional capitalisation for LJHES. Regarding PCHES, the petitioner claimed an amount of Rs. 0.04 Crore against Rs. 6.10 Crore approved in MYT Order dated. 22.03.2022.

3.3.33 The Commission has verified details of the works carried out by the petitioner with Audited Annual Accounts and approved Rs. 0.04 Crore towards additional capitalisation for PCHES. For rest of the stations, since the Commission has not approved additional capitalisation in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022, the claimed additional capitalisation in the present petition is not approved.

3.3.34 The additional capitalisation claimed by the Petitioner and approved by the Commission for the FY 2023-24 is shown below:

**Table 3.3: Additional Capitalisation as approved for FY 2023-24**  
(Rs.in Crore)

	Station	MYT Order	Claimed	Approved
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,016.08</b>	<b>209.43</b>	<b>209.08</b>
1	KTPS V	-	0.17	-
2	KTPS VI	-	-	-
3	KTPS VII	194.08	14.73	14.73
4	RTS-B	-	0.04	-
5	KTPP I	-	0.14	-
6	KTPP II	29.59	8.19	8.19
7	BTPS	792.41*	186.16	186.16
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>22.24</b>	<b>17.34</b>	<b>4.27</b>
8	NSHES	-	2.66	-
9	SLBHES	-	8.50	-
10	Small Hydel	-	0.05	-
11	Mini Hydel	-	0.04	-
12	Pochampad- II	-	-	-
13	PJHES	-	1.82	-
14	LJHES	16.14	4.23	4.23
15	PCHES	6.10	0.04	0.04
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,038.32</b>	<b>226.77</b>	<b>213.35</b>

\* For BTPS approved additional capitalisation of Rs.792.41 Crore as per the MTR Order dated 23.03.2023

3.3.35 Based on the above, the details of the Opening GFA, additional capitalisation and GFA closing for the FY 2023-24 are shown as below:

**Table 3.4: GFA details as approved for FY 2023-24**  
(Rs.in Crore)

	Station	Opening GFA	Additional Capitalisation	Closing GFA
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>23,533.76</b>	<b>209.08</b>	<b>23,742.84</b>
1	KTPS V	2,269.87	-	2,269.87
2	KTPS VI	2,473.23	-	2,473.23
3	KTPS VII	5,094.40	14.73	5,109.13
4	RTS	127.04	-	127.04
5	KTPP I	2,548.83	-	2,548.83
6	KTPP II	3,761.27	8.19	3,769.46
7	BTPS	7,259.12	186.16	7,445.28
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>8,242.35</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>8,246.62</b>
8	NSHES	1,920.80	-	1,920.80
9	SLBHES	3,375.71	-	3,375.71
10	Small Hydel	121.89	-	121.89
11	Mini Hydel	31.23	-	31.23
12	Pochampad- II	29.74	-	29.74
13	PJHES	690.68	-	690.68
14	LJHES	1,631.58	4.23	1,635.81
15	PCHES	440.72	0.04	440.76
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>31,776.11</b>	<b>213.35</b>	<b>31,989.46</b>

### 3.4 DEPRECIATION

3.4.1 The petitioner has submitted as under:

- i. Depreciation is computed in accordance with Clause No. 10 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019.
- ii. The capital cost admitted by the Commission along with admitted additional capitalization is considered as value base for calculation of depreciation.
- iii. Depreciation for the stations up to 12 years from COD is calculated annually based on Straight Line Method and at rates specified in CERC (Terms and conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019.
- iv. For the Stations after twelve (12) Years from the effective COD, the remaining depreciable value is spread over to the balance Useful life.
- v. In respect of RTS-B and KTPS-V Stage, the useful life is considered up to 03.06.2024 and 31.03.2029 respectively as per the consent issued by the Commission vide order dated 22.10.2024.
- vi. The Depreciation approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.5: Depreciation claimed for FY 2023-24**

**(Rs.in Crore)**

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	19.15	28.14	8.99
2	KTPS-VI	130.58	124.92	-5.66
3	KTPS-VII	232.68	258.69	26.01
4	RTS-B	10.11	19.44	9.33
5	KTPP-I	74.16	17.49	-56.67
6	KTPP-II	180.11	194.41	14.30
7	BTPS	300.23	371.85	71.62
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	87.97	93.51	5.54
9	Srisailem LB HES	86.43	58.14	-28.29
10	Small Hydel	3.95	1.03	-2.92
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	0.90	0.34	-0.56
12	Pochampad- II HES	0	0.58	0.58
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	13.72	13.44	-0.28
14	Lower Jurala HES	79.59	72.50	-7.09
15	Pulichintala HES	10.89	9.54	-1.35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1230.47</b>	<b>1264.02</b>	<b>33.55</b>

3.4.2 The variation in depreciation is mostly on account of KTPS-VII Stage and

BTPS as depreciation approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 is less when compared with actuals.

### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.4.3 Clause 10.10 of Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates that the depreciation shall be determined for assets capitalised at the time of Truing-up along with the Midterm Review based on documentary evidence of assets capitalised by TGGenco, subject to the prudence check of the Commission, such that the depreciation is allowed proportionately from the date of capitalisation. The relevant clause of the regulation is stipulated below:

*“10.10 Depreciation shall be re-computed for assets capitalised at the time of Truing-up along with the Mid-term Review or at the End of the Control Period, based on documentary evidence of assets capitalised by TGGenco, subject to the Prudence Check of the Commission, such that the depreciation is allowed proportionately from the date of capitalisation.”*

3.4.4 The Commission has observed that variance in depreciation claimed by TGGENCO in this petition and that approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 is on account of the variations in GFA opening base and additional capitalization proposed by TGGENCO for the FY 2023-24.

3.4.5 The Commission has arrived station-wise based on Opening GFA for FY 2023-24 based on the Opening GFA approved for FY 2022-23 approved in the MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and approved additional capitalisation for FY 2022-23 in the Order for True up of FY 2022-23 & MYT for FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29.

3.4.6 Based on Opening GFA of FY 2023-24 and approved additional capitalisation in this order, the Commission has determined the depreciation in accordance with clause 10.10 of Regulation No.1 of 2019 for the FY 2023-24.

3.4.7 The depreciation approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, claimed and approved is detailed below:

**Table 3.6: Depreciation as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24**

**(Rs.in Crore)**

<b>Sr.No</b>	<b>Station Name</b>	<b>MTR Order</b>	<b>Claimed</b>	<b>Approved</b>
		<b>(A)</b>	<b>(B)</b>	<b>(c)</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>947.02</b>	<b>1,014.94</b>	<b>1,029.45</b>
1	KTPS V	19.15	28.14	11.69

<b>Sr.No</b>	<b>Station Name</b>	<b>MTR Order (A)</b>	<b>Claimed (B)</b>	<b>Approved (c)</b>
2	KTPS VI	130.58	124.92	126.12
3	KTPS VII	232.68	258.69	264.12
4	RTS	10.11	19.44	13.56
5	KTPP I	74.16	17.49	51.55
6	KTPP II	180.11	194.41	194.41
7	BTPS	300.23	371.85	367.98
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>283.45</b>	<b>249.08</b>	<b>322.58</b>
8	NSHES	87.97	93.51	131.95
9	SLBHES	86.43	58.14	86.41
10	Small Hydel	3.95	1.03	1.69
11	Mini Hydel	0.90	0.34	0.34
12	Pochampad- II	-	0.58	0.60
13	PJHES	13.72	13.44	11.50
14	LJHES	79.59	72.50	70.83
15	PCHES	10.89	9.54	19.25
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,230.47</b>	<b>1,264.02</b>	<b>1,352.03</b>

### 3.5 INTEREST AND FINANCE CHARGES ON LOAN

#### TGGENCO filings

3.5.1 The petitioner has submitted as under:

- i. The Debt-Equity ratio is considered as 70:30 of the GFA in accordance with Clause No.9 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019 and as per Para No. 4.8.3 of MTR Order dated 23.03.2023.
- ii. The station-wise opening loan balance has been calculated by considering the 70% of GFA and subtracting the accumulated depreciation. The depreciation has been considered as normative loan repayment.
- iii. The station-wise weighted average interest rate of the actual loan portfolio has been considered as the rate of interest on loan as per the clause No. 12.5 of Regulation No 1 of 2019. Further where there is no actual loan for a particular year but normative loan is still outstanding, the last available weighted average rate of interest has been considered.
- iv. The interest on loan has been calculated on the normative loan balance for the year by applying the weighted average rate of interest.
- v. The interest and finance charges approved by the Commission in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.7: Interest and Finance Charges on Loan claimed for FY 2023-24  
(Rs.in Crore)**

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	8.39	0.00	-8.39
2	KTPS-VI	0	0.00	0.00
3	KTPS-VII	263.85	240.87	-22.98
4	RTS-B	0	0.00	0.00
5	KTPP-I	0	0.00	0.00
6	KTPP-II	120.73	129.47	8.74
7	BTPS	420.94	419.41	-1.53
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	10.17	13.08	2.91
9	Srisailam LB HES	47.51	46.46	-1.05
10	Small Hydel	0.37	0.00	-0.37
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	0	0.10	0.10
12	Pochampad- II HES	0.93	0.95	0.02
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	16.86	16.74	-0.12
14	Lower Jurala HES	54.07	56.56	2.49
15	Pulichintala HES	21.67	24.02	2.35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>965.49</b>	<b>947.66</b>	<b>-17.83</b>

vi. The variation in interest and finance charges approved in MTR and True-up for FY 2023-24 is due to the variation in Additional Capitalization and Actual weighted average rate of Interest on loan

#### **Commission's analysis & findings**

- 3.5.2 In terms of clause 9 of Regulation No.1 of 2019, the debt-equity ratio for the amount capitalised during the year has been considered as 70:30 of the approved cost for calculating interest on loan.
- 3.5.3 The approved depreciation for each station has been considered as the normative loan repayment for the year.
- 3.5.4 The station-wise weighted average interest rate of the actual loan portfolio has been considered as the rate of interest on loan. The Commission has approved the Interest and Finance Charges on loan in accordance with Clause 12 of the Regulation No. 1 of 2019. The outstanding loan balance approved for the FY 2022-23 has been considered as the opening loan balance for the FY 2023- 24. For KTPS-V, KTPS-VI, RTS-B, KTPP-I and Small Hydel stations, the opening loan balance for the FY 2023-24 has been

considered as zero as claimed by the Petitioner.

3.5.5 Regarding interest rate, the Commission has directed TGGENCO to furnish documentary evidence for the interest rate on loan as filed in the Petition. TGGENCO in its reply has submitted the documentary evidence of the rate of interest. The Commission considering above all has computed the interest and finance charges on loan.

3.5.6 The interest and finance charges on loan approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, claimed and approved shown in the Table below:

**Table 3.8: I&FC on Loan as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in crore)

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>813.91</b>	<b>789.75</b>	<b>690.76</b>
1	KTPS V	8.39	-	-
2	KTPS VI	-	-	-
3	KTPS VII	263.85	240.87	234.35
4	RTS-B	-	-	-
5	KTPP I	-	-	-
6	KTPP II	120.73	129.47	111.31
7	BTPS	420.94	419.41	345.09
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>151.58</b>	<b>157.91</b>	<b>131.37</b>
8	NSHES	10.17	13.08	6.31
9	SLBHES	47.51	46.46	39.06
10	Small Hydel	0.37	-	-
11	Mini Hydel	-	0.10	0.02
12	Pochampad- II	0.93	0.95	0.81
13	PJHES	16.86	16.74	14.63
14	LJHES	54.07	56.56	50.81
15	PCHES	21.67	24.02	19.73
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>965.49</b>	<b>947.66</b>	<b>822.13</b>

### 3.6 INTEREST ON WORKING CAPITAL (IOWC)

#### TGGENCO filings

3.6.1 The petitioner has submitted as under:

- i. The Working Capital Base for each generating station is calculated in accordance with Clause No. 13 of the Regulation No. 1 of 2019. The Cost of fuel (Coal and Oil) for thermal stations is calculated considering the actual Energy sent out and net variable charges billed for FY 2023-

24 and the same is considered for the energy charges component of receivables for FY 2023-24.

- ii. The rate of IoWC has been considered as 10.08% (Considering the weighted average of SBI MCLR for FY 2023-24 of 8.58% plus 150 basis points).
- iii. The interest on working capital approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and Claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.9: Interest on Working Capital (IoWC) claimed for FY 2023-24 (Rs.in Crore)**

Sl.No	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	30.55	46.22	15.67
2	KTPS-VI	33.09	45.65	12.56
3	KTPS-VII	64.55	89.10	24.55
4	RTS-B	6.79	8.19	1.40
5	KTPP-I	33.46	41.40	7.94
6	KTPP-II	42.74	55.77	13.03
7	BTPS	66.11	99.81	33.70
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	7.28	11.55	4.27
9	Srisailam LB HES	8.66	12.94	4.28
10	Small Hydel	1.66	2.19	0.53
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	0.29	0.39	0.10
12	Pochampad- II HES	0.29	0.40	0.11
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	2.19	3.34	1.15
14	Lower Jurala HES	4.72	6.05	1.33
15	Pulichintala HES	2.09	2.94	0.85
	<b>Total</b>	<b>304.47</b>	<b>425.94</b>	<b>121.47</b>

- iv. The reason for variation in Interest on working capital is due to the variation in interest rate i.e., considering weighted average bank rate prevailing during FY 2023-24 plus 150 basis points (SBI MCLR is 8.58% + 150 basis points) @10.08% as per clause No. 13.3 of Regulation 1 of 2019. Further the variation in O&M expenses, RoE etc., are the main reasons for increase in Interest on working capital.

### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.6.2 The stakeholder has submitted Interest on working capital (IOWC) increased by 39.89% during the FY 2023-24. The reasons for such increase in IOWC

need to be scrutinized.

3.6.3 The stakeholder further submitted that TGGENCO attributed increase in interest on working capital (IOWC) is on account of increase in O&M expenses due to PRC 2022. But according to TGGENCO petition PRC 2022 accounted for only one-third of the increase in O&M expenses. Cost Audit Report for FY 2023-24 shows substantial increase in current liabilities resulting in negative current assets. TGGENCO replied that current liabilities increased due to increase in trade payable towards coal and oil and other O&M payments. Delay in realization of trade receivables resulted delay in payment of coal bills resulted in increase of current liabilities. Stakeholder requested to clarify whether the IOWC shown by TGGENCO includes burden related to the current liabilities also. Sub-clause 6.7.4 of clause 6.7 of Regulation 1 of 2019 includes variation in amount of interest on working capital under controllable factors. Stakeholder requested the Commission not to admit TGGENCO's claim on increased IOWC as per Regulation No. 1 of 2019.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.6.4 The reasons for increase in Interest on working capital (IOWC) during the FY 2023-24, is majorly on account of increase in O&M Expenses due to PRC 2022.

#### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.6.5 The Commission has computed the working capital requirement for FY 2023-24 in accordance with clause 13 of Regulation No.1 of 2019. The working capital requirement has been computed considering the following:

- Cost of coal towards stock corresponding to 30 days generation corresponding to target availability.
- Cost of coal for 30 days of generation corresponding to target availability.
- Cost of secondary fuel oil for two months of generation corresponding to target availability.
- Maintenance spares @ 20% of the O&M expenses.
- O&M expenses for one month.
- Receivables equivalent to two months of capacity charges and energy charges for sale of electricity calculated on target availability.
- Minus payables for fuel (including secondary fuel oil) to the extent of

thirty days of the cost of fuel computed at target availability.

3.6.6 The relevant clause of Regulation is as follows:

*“13.3 Rate of interest on working capital shall be on normative basis and shall be considered as the Bank Rate plus 150 basis points as on filing date or as on 1st April of the financial Year during the MYT period in which the Generating Station or Unit thereof is declared under commercial operation, whichever is later. Provided that for the purpose of Truing-up for any year, interest on working capital shall be allowed at a rate equal to the weighted average Bank Rate prevailing during the concerned Year plus 150 basis points.”*

3.6.7 The petitioner has claimed the interest rate as 10.08% considering actual SBI MCLR rate of 8.58% during FY 2023-24 plus 150 basis points. The Commission on a prudent check has considered the rate of interest of 10.08% in accordance with clause 13.3 of Regulation No.1 of 2019.

3.6.8 The IoWC approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, claimed and approved is detailed in Table below:

**Table 3.10: IoWC claimed and approved for FY 2023-24**

**(Rs.in crore)**

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>277.29</b>	<b>386.14</b>	<b>371.30</b>
1	KTPS V	30.55	46.22	45.27
2	KTPS VI	33.09	45.65	46.68
3	KTPS VII	64.55	89.10	86.66
4	RTS-B	6.79	8.19	7.52
5	KTPP I	33.46	41.40	40.66
6	KTPP II	42.74	55.77	52.60
7	BTPS	66.11	99.81	91.91
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>27.18</b>	<b>39.80</b>	<b>32.49</b>
8	NSHES	7.28	11.55	9.27
9	SLBHES	8.66	12.94	10.13
10	Small Hydel	1.66	2.19	2.03
11	Mini Hydel	0.29	0.39	0.36
12	Pochampad- II	0.29	0.40	0.32
13	PJHES	2.19	3.34	2.53
14	LJHES	4.72	6.05	5.25
15	PCHES	2.09	2.94	2.60
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>304.47</b>	<b>425.94</b>	<b>403.79</b>

### 3.7 PRC-2022 IMPACT FOR FY 2022-23

#### TGGENCO filings

3.7.1 The petitioner submitted that the Commission in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024 specified that the impact of PRC-2022 was not considered due to non-submission of complete details of station wise PRC in the filings and the same will be considered as part of truing up at the end of 4th control period subject to prudence check of the station wise actual PRC effect in employee cost for FY 2022-23. Accordingly, the station wise impact of PRC-2022 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.11: PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23 as claimed**  
(Rs.in Crore)

S.No.	Station	Amount
1	KTPS-V	30.96
2	KTPS-VI	30.96
3	KTPS-VII	67.21
4	RTS-B	12.78
5	KTPP-I	21.52
6	KTPP-II	25.83
7	BTPS	38.73
8	Nagarjuna Complex	12.66
9	Srisailam LB	10.34
10	Small Hydel	6.49
11	Mini Hydel	1.1
12	Pochampad- II	1.08
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	3.82
14	Lower Jurala	3.82
15	Pulichintala	4.39
	<b>Total</b>	<b>271.69</b>

#### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.7.2 The stakeholders have submitted that the Commission has already addressed the impact of the Pay Revision Commission (PRC) for FY 2022- 23 in its MYT Order dated 28.10.2024. Specifically, in Clause 4.1.33, the Commission acknowledged TGGENCO's submission that the variation in employee costs was primarily due to PRC implementation, which had not been factored into the normative base of FY 2021-22.

3.7.3 Further, in Clause 4.1.38 of the same Order, the Commission undertook a detailed re-computation of normative employee expenses, repair and

maintenance (R&M) expenses, and administrative and general (A&G) expenses. This exercise was conducted in accordance with Regulation No. 1 of 2019, using actual Wholesale Price Index (WPI) and Consumer Price Index (CPI) values for FY 2022-23. The re-computation was based on the submissions made by the petitioner in the filings for True-Up of FY 2022-23 and Multi-Year Tariff (MYT) for FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29.

- 3.7.4 In addition, Para 6.8.11 of the MYT Order dated 22.03.2022 states that the financial impact of PRC during the 4<sup>th</sup> Control Period was not pre- approved and must be claimed based on actuals, either during the Mid-Term Review or at the time of truing-up.
- 3.7.5 In light of the above, the claim of 271.69 Crore towards PRC impact must be subjected to a detailed prudence check to ensure that it has not already been subsumed under the actual employee expenses of 2245.45 Crore, as reported by TGGENCO. Any duplication or overstatement must be disallowed in accordance with regulatory principles.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

- 3.7.6 The True-up claim is in accordance with the Clause No 3.13 of TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019. The True up claim shall be a comparison of the actual operational and financial performance vis-a vis the approved forecast.
- 3.7.7 The Commission shall attribute the variations in operational and financial performance within the control of Petitioner or to the factors beyond the control of petitioner.
- 3.7.8 The True-up O&M expenses claimed is majorly on account of pay revision-2022. As per Clause No.19.14, any increase in employee cost on account of pay revision etc. will be considered separately by the Commission.
- 3.7.9 In accordance with the regulations, actual expenditure amounting to Rs. 287.40 Crore, incurred on account of Pay Revision is included in the Employees cost for FY 2023- 24.
- 3.7.10 Further, the Commission in MYT order dated 28.10.2024, at para No. 4.1.40 has specified that the impact of PRC 2022 claim amounting Rs.271.69 Crore, will be considered while carrying out the truing up at the end of 4<sup>th</sup> control period and requested the Commission to approve the PRC 2022 impact for FY 2022-23.

**Commission's analysis & findings**

3.7.11 The observations made by the Commission in the MYT order dated 28.10.2024 on impact of PRC-2022 for FY 2022-23 are as follows:

*“4.1.40 The Commission in MYT Order dated 22.03.2022 has not approved any effect due to PRC for 4th control period from the FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24 and ruled that the same shall be claimed based on the actuals either during the Mid Term Review or during Truing up at the end of 4th control period. TGGENCO has claimed additional O&M expenses for the FY 2022-23 on account of PRC, however complete details of station wise PRC has not been submitted in the filings. Accordingly, as ruled in the MYT Order, the Commission will consider the impact of PRC during the Control Period the FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24 while carrying out the truing up at the end of 4th Control Period. Therefore, the Commission has not considered the impact of PRC for the FY 2022-23 at this stage and will consider the same as part of truing up at the end of 4th Control Period subject to prudence check of the station wise actual PRC effect in employee cost for the FY2022-23.”*

3.7.12 The petitioner has submitted the station-wise impact of PRC-2022 as shown below:

<b>(Rs.in Crore)</b>		
<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Station</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	KTPS-V	30.96
2	KTPS-VI	30.96
3	KTPS-VII	67.21
4	RTS-B	12.78
5	KTPP-I	21.52
6	KTPP-II	25.83
7	BTPS	38.73
8	Nagarjuna Complex	12.66
9	Srisaïlam LB	10.34
10	Small Hydel	6.49
11	Mini Hydel	1.1
12	Pochampad- II	1.08
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	3.82
14	Lower Jurala	3.82
15	Pulichintala	4.39
	<b>Total</b>	<b>271.69</b>

3.7.13 The Commission has verified the PRC-2022 impact for FY 2022-23 with Annual Accounts for FY 2022-23 and decided to approve Rs. 271.69 Crore towards PRC-2022 impact for FY 2022-23 as detailed below:

**Table 3.12: PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23 as claimed and approved (Rs.in Crore)**

S.No.	Station	Claimed	Approved
1	KTPS-V	30.96	30.96
2	KTPS-VI	30.96	30.96
3	KTPS-VII	67.21	67.21
4	RTS-B	12.78	12.78
5	KTPP-I	21.52	21.52
6	KTPP-II	25.83	25.83
7	BTPS	38.73	38.73
8	Nagarjuna Complex	12.66	12.66
9	Srisailam LB	10.34	10.34
10	Small Hydel	6.49	6.49
11	Mini Hydel	1.1	1.1
12	Pochampad- II	1.08	1.08
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	3.82	3.82
14	Lower Jurala	3.82	3.82
15	Pulichintala	4.39	4.39
	<b>Total</b>	<b>271.69</b>	<b>271.69</b>

### 3.8 OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES (O&M)

3.8.1 The petitioner submitted that O&M expenses comprises of (i) Employee cost, (ii) Repair & Maintenance expenses and (iii) Administrative & General expenses.

3.8.2 Clause No. 3.13.2 of Regulation 1 of 2019 specifies that the end of control period review shall be a comparison of actual operational and financial performance vis-à-vis the approved forecast.

3.8.3 Accordingly, the actual O&M expenses (Employee cost, R&M expenses and A&G expenses) have been claimed based on the annual accounts of FY 2023-24.

**Table 3.13: Component-wise, O&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24 (Rs.in Crore)**

Station Name	Employee Cost	R&M	A&G	O&M
KTPS V	229.23	14.82	28.24	272.29
KTPS VI	229.23	14.82	28.24	272.29
KTPS VII	466.54	56.80	24.91	548.25
RTS-B	85.03	6.76	8.47	100.26
KTPP I	182.32	10.82	22.44	215.58
KTPP II	218.78	12.99	26.92	258.69
BTPS	360.23	16.43	62.79	439.46
NSHES	162.84	11.36	10.59	184.80

Station Name	Employee Cost	R&M	A&G	O&M
SLBHES	151.86	13.88	13.71	179.44
Small Hydel	46.67	1.34	1.67	49.68
Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	7.91	0.23	0.28	8.42
Pochampad- II	7.78	0.22	0.27	8.27
PJHES	46.48	2.21	2.49	51.19
LJHES	46.93	2.23	2.50	51.66
PCHES	40.88	0.93	3.74	45.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,282.72</b>	<b>165.85</b>	<b>237.27</b>	<b>2,685.83</b>

3.8.4 The O&M expenses approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.14: O&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	196.55	272.29	75.74
2	KTPS-VI	194.85	272.29	77.44
3	KTPS-VII	484.98	548.25	63.27
4	RTS-B	93.76	100.26	6.50
5	KTPP-I	168.64	215.58	46.94
6	KTPP-II	189.93	258.69	68.76
7	BTPS	169.55	439.46	269.91
8	Nagarjuna Sagar Complex	106.02	184.80	78.78
9	Srisaillam LB HES	91.59	179.44	87.85
10	Small Hydel	39.31	49.68	10.37
11	Mini Hydel	6.64	8.42	1.78
12	Pochampad- II HES	6.53	8.27	1.74
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	29.55	51.19	21.64
14	Lower Jurala HES	31.79	51.66	19.87
15	Pulichintala HES	31.25	45.55	14.30
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1840.94</b>	<b>2,685.83</b>	<b>844.89</b>

3.8.5 The reasons for variation of the actual O&M expenses and the approved O&M Expenses are due to lesser O&M Expenses approved in the previous years for BTPS as detailed below:

- i. Unit-IV (Last Unit) of BTPS was commissioned on 09.01.2022 (i.e. FY: 2021-22). Hence, all the four units of the station are operationalized from FY 2022-23.
- ii. In the absence of base expenditure of full year for projections, the Commission has provisionally approved O&M Expenses of Rs. 159.96

Crore in Mid-Term Review Order. However, actual O&M expenses incurred is Rs.392.56 Crore. The claimed expenses are justifiable since as per the Clause No. 35 (1(I)) CERC Regulations, 2019, Normative O&M expenses of Thermal Generation stations of 250MW series for the FY 2023-24 is of Rs.37.84 Lakhs/ MW. If same were considered for BTPS the Normative O&M expenses would have been Rs.408.672 Crore whereas, actual O&M expenses are Rs. 439.46 Crore as per Annual Accounts.

### Employee Expenses:

3.8.6 The details of Employee expenses approved in MTR and Claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.15: Employee Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24**

<i>(Rs.in Crore)</i>				
Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	148.66	229.23	80.57
2	KTPS-VI	148.66	229.23	80.57
3	KTPS-VII	396.07	466.54	70.47
4	RTS-B	78.57	85.03	6.46
5	KTPP-I	136.03	182.32	46.29
6	KTPP-II	154.09	218.78	64.69
7	BTPS	151.38	360.23	208.85
8	Nagarjuna Complex	89.37	162.84	73.47
9	Srisailam LB	75.57	151.86	76.29
10	Small Hydel	36.79	46.67	9.88
11	Mini Hydel	6.24	7.91	1.67
12	Pochampad- II	6.13	7.78	1.65
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	26.73	46.48	19.75
14	Lower Jurala	27.42	46.93	19.51
15	Pulichintala	30.16	40.88	10.72
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,511.87</b>	<b>2,282.72</b>	<b>770.85</b>

3.8.7 The variation in Employee Expenses is mainly due to the impact of PRC-2022. PRC was implemented by TGGENCO during FY 2022-23. While determining employee expenses for FY 2023-24 in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, the impact of PRC-2022 was not considered by the Commission. Further, clause No. 19.14 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019 also provides that any increase in employee expenses on account of pay revision etc., will be considered separately by the Commission. The same is claimed in the

current True-Up filings.

3.8.8 Further, in respect of BTPS the Commission has considered the actual employee expenses of FY 2020-21 & FY 2021-22, during the period when the station was not fully operational to its full capacity.

3.8.9 Further station-wise impact of PRC- 2022 impact of FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.16: PRC-2022 Impact claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

S.No.	Station	Amount
1	KTPS-V	32.75
2	KTPS-VI	32.75
3	KTPS-VII	71.1
4	RTS-B	13.52
5	KTPP-I	22.77
6	KTPP-II	27.32
7	BTPS	40.97
8	Nagarjuna Complex	13.39
9	Srisailam LB	10.94
10	Small Hydel	6.86
11	Mini Hydel	1.16
12	Pochampad- II	1.14
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	4.04
14	Lower Jurala	4.04
15	Pulichintala	4.65
	<b>Total</b>	<b>287.40</b>

**R&M Expenses:**

3.8.10 The details of Repairs and maintenance Expenses (R&M) Expenses approved and claimed is tabulated below:

**Table 3.17: R&M Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	37.80	28.24	-9.56
2	KTPS-VI	36.08	28.24	-7.84
3	KTPS-VII	31.84	24.91	-6.93
4	RTS-B	10.02	8.47	-1.55
5	KTPP-I	29.89	22.44	-7.45
6	KTPP-II	32.80	26.92	-5.88
7	BTPS	7.78	62.79	55.01

Sl.No	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
8	Nagarjuna Complex	9.91	10.59	0.68
9	Srisailam LB	9.52	13.71	4.19
10	Small Hydel	2.02	1.67	-0.35
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	0.32	0.28	-0.04
12	Pochampad- II	0.31	0.27	-0.04
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	1.69	2.49	0.80
14	Lower Jurala	2.92	2.50	-0.42
15	Pulichintala	0.82	3.74	2.92
	<b>Total</b>	<b>213.72</b>	<b>237.27</b>	<b>23.55</b>

3.8.11 The variation in actual R&M expenses is due to actual Repair & Maintenance expenses being more than that approved in respect of BTPS. During FY 2021-22, all the units of BTPS are not commissioned and actual Repair & Maintenance expenses are very less. Actual Repair & Maintenance shall be more after completion of the COD of the Station which is on 09.01.2022.

#### A&G Expenses:

3.8.12 The details of Administrative & General Expenses approved and claimed is tabulated below:

**Table 3.18: A&G Expenses claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	12.07	14.82	2.75
2	KTPS-VI	12.07	14.82	2.75
3	KTPS-VII	61.97	56.80	-5.17
4	RTS-B	6.11	6.76	0.65
5	KTPP-I	4.42	10.82	6.40
6	KTPP-II	4.96	12.99	8.03
7	BTPS	12.1	16.43	4.33
8	Nagarjuna Complex	7.82	11.36	3.54
9	Srisailam LB	7.42	13.88	6.46
10	Small Hydel	0.9	1.34	0.44
11	Mini Hydel	0.15	0.23	0.08
12	Pochampad- II	0.15	0.22	0.07
13	Priyadarshini Jurala	1.43	2.21	0.78
14	Lower Jurala	1.78	2.23	0.45
15	Pulichintala	0.58	0.93	0.35
	<b>Total</b>	<b>133.93</b>	<b>165.85</b>	<b>31.92</b>

3.8.13 The variation in actual A&G Expenses and Approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 is due to the increase in security guard charges due to implementation of Pay Revision by Government of Telangana and also due to increase in electricity charges towards colony consumption (earlier colony consumption was included in auxiliary consumption).

3.8.14 Further CERC allows security expenses, capital spares and insurance charges over and above the normative expenditure. Therefore, the actual expenditure as per audited accounts for FY 2023-24 consisting of Electricity Charges of Rs. 44.95 Crore, Security Expenses of Rs. 53.62 Crore and Insurance Charges of Rs. 20.12 Crore

#### **Stakeholders' Submissions**

3.8.15 The stakeholder submitted that increase in O&M costs accounted for 76.59% of the increase in fixed charges. Out of total O&M costs employee expenses increased by Rs. 770.85 Crore. TGGENCO attributed the increase in employee expenses to the impact of PRC-2022. But according to its own submission PRC impact for FY 2023-24 was Rs. 287.40 Crore. Increase in employee costs to the extent of nearly 500 Crore was not explained. In the case of BTPS employee expenses increased by 138%. Impact of PRC on this plant was only Rs. 40.97 Crore.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.8.16 As far as O&M cost is concerned, the expenses claimed is as per the audited annual accounts which include provision for terminal benefits towards Pension & Gratuity, Leave and Medical benefits of employees and artisans. Indian Accounting (Ind AS) 19 specifies that the provision shall be made towards employee terminal benefits as per actuarial valuation report. In the approved figures the same was not considered.

#### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.8.17 The clause 19 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates the norms for determination of O&M expenses as (i) Employee cost, (ii) R&M expenses and (iii) A&G expenses.

3.8.18 The Commission has sought detailed break up of Deviation in O&M Expenses of Rs. 844.89 Crore for FY 2023-24 along with reasons and also whether PRC Impact for FY 2022-23 for an amount of Rs. 271.69 Crore is

included in Rs. 844.89 Crore.

3.8.19 TGGENCO clarified that it has claimed O&M expenses inclusive of pay revision impact FY 2022-23 in the true-up petition for FY 2022-23. The Commission in True-up order dated 28.10.2024 at para 4.1.40 stated that the impact of PRC for the FY 2022-23 will be considered as part of Truing up at the end of 4th control period (2023-24). Accordingly, the PRC impact of Rs. 271.69 crore for FY 2022-23 has been claimed in true-up of FY 2023-24.

3.8.20 Further, the petitioner has claimed Rs. 844.89 crore as true-up for FY 2023-24, out of which Rs. 770.85 crore is variation in employee expenses. The variation in employee expenses includes, pay revision impact of Rs. 287.4 crore for the FY 2023-24. The variation in 844.90 crore is pertaining to FY 2023-24 only and PRC impact for FY 2022-23 for an amount of Rs.271.69 Cr is not included in Rs.844.90.crore.

3.8.21 The Commission has computed the normative Employee expenses, normative R&M expenses and normative A&G expenses as per Regulation No.1 of 2019.

**Employee Cost:**

3.8.22 Clause 19.2 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates for computation of employee expenses. The relevant clause of the regulation is stipulated below:

*“19.2. Employee Cost (EMR)*

*Employee cost shall be computed as per the approved norm escalated by CPI, adjusted by provisions for expenses beyond the control of the Generating Entity and one time expected expenses, such as recovery/adjustment of Terminal Benefits, implications of pay commission, arrears and interim relief, governed by the following formula*

$$EMP_n = (EMP_b \times \text{CPI inflation}) + \text{Provision}$$

*Where:*

*EMP<sub>n</sub>: Employee expense for the Year "n"*

*EMP<sub>b</sub>: Employee expense as per the preceding Year.*

*For the first year of Control Period, expense shall be the average of the trued-up employee expenses after adding/deducting the share of efficiency gains/losses, for the immediately preceding Control Period, excluding abnormal, if any, subject to Prudence Check by the Commission.*

*CPI inflation is the point to point change in the Consumer Price Index for Industrial Workers (all India) as per Labour Bureau, Government of India, as reduced by an efficiency factor of 1% for immediately preceding Year.*

*CPI index source for one-month lag: Ministry of Statistics - GOI provided that in case CPI inflation is a negative number, the escalation/ change*

shall be 0%.

*Provision refers to provision for expenses beyond control of the Generating Entity and expected one-time expenses as specified above.”*

3.8.23 The petitioner submitted that Rs. 287.40 Crore variation in Employee Expenses mainly due to the impact of the PRC 2022 for FY 2023-24. Employee expenses increased by Rs. 483.45 Crore over and above of PRC impact as shown below

Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr
Employee Expenses approved in MTR Order Dt. 23.03.2023	1,511.87
Claimed by TGGENCO	2,282.72
Diff (claim-approved in MTR)	770.85
PRC Impact	287.40
Remaining increase in Emp. Expenses	483.45
% increment wrt claim	21%

3.8.24 Further, the petitioner has submitted the following detailed justification for increase in Employee Expenses for FY 2023-24.

- In true up petition the Employee cost was claimed as per the books of account for Rs.2282.72 Crore for FY 2023-24 and Employee Cost for FY 2022-23 as per annual accounts is Rs. 2,114.48 Crore. There is an increase of 7.95% in the FY 2023-24 when compared with FY 2022-23.
- Employee expenses for FY 2022-23 and 2023-24 allowed in MTR order dt.23.03.2023 was based on true-up figures of FY 2021-22 and without considering the PRC.
- Employee cost when compared with the approved figures has increased due to increase in provision for terminal benefits based on actuarial valuation report on account of PRC.
- O&M expenditure for BTPS approved by the Commission during MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 are provisional, whereas True-up claims are as per the audited annual accounts.
- Unit-IV (Last unit) of BTPS was commissioned on 09.01.2022 (i.e., FY 21-22). Hence, all the four units of the station are operationalized from FY2022-23.
- In the absence of base expenditure of a full year for projections, the Commission has provisionally approved O&M expenses of Rs.169.55 Cr. in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023. However, actual O&M expenses incurred is Rs.439.46 Cr. It is justifiable since as per clause No.35 (1)(I) CERC Regulations 2019, Normative O&M expenses of thermal generation stations of 250MW series is Rs.37.84 Lakhs/ MW and if the same were considered for BTPS the Normative O&M Expenses would have been Rs.408.672 Crore. Whereas, BTPS O&M Expenses claimed is of Rs.439.46 Crore at actuals as per Annual Accounts.
- Further, O&M expenses approved by the Commission for the FY 2023-24, with similar capacity TGGENCO Thermal stations viz., KTPS V & VI (1000 MW) – Rs. 391.40 Cr. and KTPP I & II (1100 MW) –Rs. 358.57 Cr.

3.8.25 The Commission considered the approved employee expenses for FY 2022-23 and PRC-2022 impact on FY 2022-23 for revision of base employee expenses for the FY 2023-24 except BTPS.

3.8.26 Regarding the revision of the O&M expenses for BTPS, the petitioner has submitted that the O&M expenditure approved in the MTR order was without considering the O&M expenditure of all the units. Since, all the four units are operationalised from FY 2022-23, the petitioner has requested to revise the O&M expenditure as per actuals. The petitioner further submitted that as per CERC Regulations 2019 normative O&M expenses of thermal generating stations of 250 MW series for FY 2023-24 is Rs. 37.84 lakhs per MW, if the same were considered for BTPS the normative O&M expenses would have been Rs. 408.672 Crores, whereas the O&M expenses claimed as per annual accounts for FY 2023-24 for Rs. 439.46 Crore.

3.8.27 Taking into consideration that all the four units are operationalised from FY 2022-23 and that the O&M expenditure determined in the MTR order was without considering all the Units of the BTPS, the Commission finds it prudent to revise the O&M expenditure. The Commission taking into consideration of the submission of petitioner on the CERC norms and actual O&M expenditure incurred as per annual accounts has approved lower of CERC normative O&M expenditure and actuals claimed. The employee expenses, A&G expenses and R&M expenses are approved in the proportion of actuals claimed.

3.8.28 Based on above, revised base employee expenses of FY 2022-23 are shown in the table below:

**Table 3.19: Employee cost computed by the Commission for FY 2023-24**  
(Rs. in Crore)

Particulars	Approved in True up Order for FY 2022-23	PRC 2022 impact on FY 2022-23	Revised Norm for FY 2022-23	CPI Inflation	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24
	Emp	PRC	Emp <sub>b</sub> = Emp+PRC		Emp <sub>n</sub> =Emp <sub>b</sub> ×CPI
<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,145.46</b>	<b>227.99</b>	<b>1,529.60</b>		<b>1,579.67</b>
KTPS V	142.88	30.96	173.84	1.042	181.13
KTPS VI	142.88	30.96	173.84	1.042	181.13
KTPS VII	366.63	67.21	433.84	1.042	452.03
RTS-B	75.13	12.78	87.91	1.042	91.59
KTPP I	129.72	21.52	151.24	1.042	157.58
KTPP II	148.09	25.83	173.92	1.042	181.21
BTPS	140.13	38.73	335.00	1.042	335.00

Particulars	Approved in True up Order for FY 2022-23	PRC 2022 impact on FY 2022-23	Revised Norm for FY 2022-23	CPI Inflation	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24
	<b>Emp</b>	<b>PRC</b>	<b>Emp<sub>p</sub> = Emp+PRC</b>		<b>Emp<sub>n</sub>=Emp<sub>p</sub>×CPI</b>
<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,145.46</b>	<b>227.99</b>	<b>1,529.60</b>		<b>1,579.67</b>
KTPS V	142.88	30.96	173.84	1.042	181.13
KTPS VI	142.88	30.96	173.84	1.042	181.13
KTPS VII	366.63	67.21	433.84	1.042	452.03
<b>Hydel</b>	<b>276.88</b>	<b>43.70</b>	<b>320.58</b>		<b>334.02</b>
NSHES	82.72	12.66	95.38	1.042	99.38
SLBHES	69.95	10.34	80.29	1.042	83.66
Small Hydel	35.35	6.49	41.84	1.042	43.59
Mini Hydel	6.00	1.10	7.10	1.042	7.40
Pochampad- II	4.82	1.08	5.90	1.042	6.15
PJHES	24.74	3.82	28.56	1.042	29.76
LJHES	25.38	3.82	29.20	1.042	30.42
PCHES	27.92	4.39	32.31	1.042	33.66
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,422.34</b>	<b>271.69</b>	<b>1,850.18</b>	-	<b>1,913.69</b>

3.8.29 The Commission has approved the employee expenses for the BTSP station as detailed above. For rest of the stations, the Commission approved least of re-computed normative and claimed employee expenses for FY 2023-24 as shown in the table below:

**Table 3.20: Employee cost claimed, computed and approved for FY 2023-24 (Rs. in Crore)**

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order dated 23.03.2023	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
		<b>(A)</b>	<b>(B)</b>	<b>(C)</b>	<b>D=Min(B,C)</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,213.46</b>	<b>1,771.36</b>	<b>1,579.67</b>	<b>1,573.10</b>
1	KTPS V	148.66	229.23	181.13	181.13
2	KTPS VI	148.66	229.23	181.13	181.13
3	KTPS VII	396.07	466.54	452.03	452.03
4	RTS-B	78.57	85.03	91.59	85.03
5	KTPP I	136.03	182.32	157.58	157.58
6	KTPP II	154.09	218.78	181.21	181.21
7	BTSP	151.38	360.23	335.00	335.00
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>298.41</b>	<b>511.35</b>	<b>334.02</b>	<b>334.02</b>
8	NSHES	89.37	162.84	99.38	99.38
9	SLBHES	75.57	151.86	83.66	83.66
10	Small Hydel	36.79	46.67	43.59	43.59
11	Mini Hydel	6.24	7.91	7.40	7.40

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order dated 23.03.2023	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
12	Pochampad- II	6.13	7.78	6.15	6.15
13	PJHES	26.73	46.48	29.76	29.76
14	LJHES	27.42	46.93	30.42	30.42
15	PCHES	30.16	40.88	33.66	33.66
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,511.87</b>	<b>2,282.72</b>	<b>1,913.69</b>	<b>1,907.12</b>

**A&G Expenses:**

3.8.30 Clause 19.4 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates for computation of Administration & General expenses. The relevant clause of the regulation is stipulated below:

*“19.4. Administrative & General Expense (A&G)*

*A&G expense shall be computed as per the norm escalated by the inflation factor and adjusted by provisions for confirmed initiatives (IT etc. initiatives as proposed by the Generating Entity and validated by the Commission) or other expected one-time expenses, and shall be governed by following formula:*

*A&G = (A&Gfo \* Inflation Factor) Provision*

*Where: A&Gn: A&G expense for the Year "n" A&Gfo:*

*For the first Year of the Control Period, it shall be the average of the audited A&G expense of the immediately preceding 3 Financial Years if available, and for subsequent Years it shall be the preceding Year escalated by the inflation factor.*

*Inflation Factor: is the sum of the following*

*point to point change in the Wholesale Price Index (WPI) numbers as per Office of Economic Advisor of Government of India for immediately preceding Year as reduced by an efficiency factor of 1% multiplied by 0.5*

*point to point change in Consumer Price Index for Industrial Workers (all India) as per Labour Bureau, Government of India in the previous year, as reduced by an efficiency factor of 1% multiplied by 0.5*

*Provided that in case Inflation Factor is a negative number, the escalation/ change shall be 0%. Provision: Cost for initiatives or other one-time expenses as proposed by the Generating Entity and validated by the Commission.”*

3.8.31 The A&G expenses computed as per clause 19.4 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019 is shown in table below:

**Table 3.21: A&G expenses computed for FY 2023-24****(Rs. in Crore)**

Particulars	Approved in True up Order for FY 2022-23	Inflation factor	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24
	<b>A&amp;G Fo</b>		<b>A&amp;G n</b>
<b>Thermal</b>	<b>67.47</b>		<b>71.94</b>
KTPS V	12.34	1.012	12.49
KTPS VI	12.34	1.012	12.49
KTPS VII	15.45	1.012	15.64
RTS-B	6.25	1.012	6.33
KTPP I	4.52	1.012	4.58
KTPP II	5.07	1.012	5.13
BTPS	11.50	1.012	15.28
<b>Hydel</b>	<b>20.54</b>		<b>20.80</b>
NSHES	7.99	1.012	8.09
SLBHES	7.59	1.012	7.68
Small Hydel	0.92	1.012	0.93
Mini Hydel	0.16	1.012	0.16
Pochampad- II	0.14	1.012	0.14
PJHES	1.46	1.012	1.48
LJHES	1.69	1.012	1.71
PCHES	0.59	1.012	0.60
<b>Total</b>	<b>88.08</b>	-	<b>92.74</b>

3.8.32 The Commission has excluded expenditure towards colony consumption from the claimed A&G expenses in accordance with clause 19.10 of the Regulation No 1 of 2019. The Commission has approved the A&G expenses for the BTPS station as detailed above. For rest of the stations, the Commission approved least of re-computed normative and claimed A&G expenses for FY 2023-24 as shown in the table below:

**Table 3.22: A&G expenses claimed and approved for FY 2023-24****(Rs. in Crore)**

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
		<b>(A)</b>	<b>(B)</b>	<b>(C)</b>	<b>D=Min(B,C)</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>113.70</b>	<b>133.44</b>	<b>71.94</b>	<b>71.94</b>
1	KTPS V	12.07	14.82	12.49	12.49
2	KTPS VI	12.07	14.82	12.49	12.49
3	KTPS VII	61.97	56.80	15.64	15.64
4	RTS-B	6.11	6.76	6.33	6.33
5	KTPP I	4.42	10.82	4.58	4.58

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
		(A)	(B)	(C)	D=Min(B,C)
6	KTPP II	4.96	12.99	5.13	5.13
7	BTPS	12.10	16.43	15.28	15.28
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>20.23</b>	<b>32.40</b>	<b>20.80</b>	<b>20.80</b>
8	NSHES	7.82	11.36	8.09	8.09
9	SLBHES	7.42	13.88	7.68	7.68
10	Small Hydel	0.90	1.34	0.93	0.93
11	Mini Hydel	0.15	0.23	0.16	0.16
12	Pochampad- II	0.15	0.22	0.14	0.14
13	PJHES	1.43	2.21	1.48	1.48
14	LJHES	1.78	2.23	1.71	1.71
15	PCHES	0.58	0.93	0.60	0.60
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>133.93</b>	<b>165.85</b>	<b>92.74</b>	<b>92.74</b>

### R&M Expenses

3.8.33 Clause 19.3 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates for computation of Repair & Maintenance expenses. The relevant clause of the regulation is stipulated below:

*“19.3 Repairs and Maintenance Expenses (R&M)*

*The expense shall be calculated as percentage (as per the norm defined) of Opening Gross Fixed Assets for the Year governed by following formula:*

$$R\&M = K \times GFA, \times WPI \text{ Inflation}$$

*Where:*

*R&M<sub>n</sub>: Repairs & Maintenance expense for nth Year GFAn:*

*Opening Gross Fixed Assets for nth Year*

*Kn: 'K' is the immediate preceding Control Period average (expressed in %) governing the relationship between R&M and Gross Fixed Assets (GFA).*

*WPI inflation: point to point change in Wholesale Price Index (WPI) for immediately preceding Year. Provided that in case WPI inflation is a negative number, the escalation/ change shall be 0%. Source for WPI - As published by Office of Economic Adviser – GOI”*

3.8.34 The recomputed Normative R&M expenses for FY 2023-24 are shown in table below:

**Table 3.23: Recomputed Normative R&M expenses at for FY 2023-24**  
**(Rs. in Crore)**

Particulars	Approved in True up Order for FY 2023-24		WPI Inflation	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24
	Kn	GFA n		R&M n
<b>Thermal</b>		<b>23,533.76</b>		<b>212.24</b>
KTPS V	1.09%	2,269.87	1.000	24.75
KTPS VI	1.00%	2,473.23	1.000	24.75
KTPS VII	0.56%	5,094.40	1.000	28.47
RTS-B	6.63%	127.04	1.000	8.42
KTPP I	1.27%	2,548.83	1.000	32.41
KTPP II	0.93%	3,761.27	1.000	35.04
BTPS	*	7,259.12	1.000	58.39
<b>Hydel</b>		<b>8,242.35</b>		<b>26.24</b>
NSHES	0.44%	1,920.80	1.000	8.39
SLBHES	0.31%	3,375.71	1.000	10.36
Small Hydel	0.94%	121.89	1.000	1.14
Mini Hydel	1.09%	31.23	1.000	0.34
Pochampad- II	0.50%	29.74	1.000	0.15
PJHES	0.26%	690.68	1.000	1.82
LJHES	0.19%	1,631.58	1.000	3.17
PCHES	0.20%	440.72	1.000	0.87
<b>Total</b>		<b>31,776.11</b>	-	<b>238.48</b>

\*For BTPS the R&M expenses are revised as detailed at para 3.8.27

3.8.35 The Commission has approved the R&M expenses for the BTPS station as detailed above. For rest of the stations, the Commission approved least of re-computed normative and claimed R&M expenses for FY 2023-24 as shown in the table below:

**Table 3.24: R&M expenses claimed and approved for FY 2023-24**  
(Rs. in Crore)

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
		(A)	(B)	(C)	D=Min(B,C)
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>186.21</b>	<b>202.01</b>	<b>212.24</b>	<b>190.59</b>
1	KTPS V	37.80	28.24	24.75	24.75
2	KTPS VI	36.08	28.24	24.75	24.75
3	KTPS VII	31.84	24.91	28.47	24.91
4	RTS-B	10.02	8.47	8.42	8.42
5	KTPP I	29.89	22.44	32.41	22.44
6	KTPP II	32.80	26.92	35.04	26.92
7	BTPS	7.78	62.79	58.39	58.39

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Recomputed Normative for FY 2023-24	Approved
		(A)	(B)	(C)	D=Min(B,C)
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>27.51</b>	<b>35.25</b>	<b>26.24</b>	<b>25.52</b>
8	NSHES	9.91	10.59	8.39	8.39
9	SLBHES	9.52	13.71	10.36	10.36
10	Small Hydel	2.02	1.67	1.14	1.14
11	Mini Hydel	0.32	0.28	0.34	0.28
12	Pochampad- II	0.31	0.27	0.15	0.15
13	PJHES	1.69	2.49	1.82	1.82
14	LJHES	2.92	2.50	3.17	2.50
15	PCHES	0.82	3.74	0.87	0.87
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>213.71</b>	<b>237.27</b>	<b>238.48</b>	<b>216.11</b>

3.8.36 Clause 19.1 of Regulation No.1 of 2019 stipulates for determination of O&M expenses, the same is shown below:

*“19.1 The O&M expenses for each year of the control period shall be approved based on the formula shown below:*

$$O\&M_n = (R\&M_n + EMP_n + A\&G_n) \times 99\%”$$

3.8.37 Based on the above, the Commission has computed the O&M expenses.

3.8.38 O&M expenses claimed by petitioner and approved for the FY 2023-24 is as shown below:

**Table 3.25: O&M Expenses claimed and approved FY 2023-24**

*Rs.in crore*

Sr. No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed				Approved				
			Employee Expenses (a)	R&M Expenses (b)	A&G Expenses (c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)	Employee Expenses (a)	R&M Expenses (b)	A&G Expenses (c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)*99%
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,498.24</b>	<b>1,771.36</b>	<b>202.01</b>	<b>133.44</b>	<b>2,106.81</b>	<b>1,573.10</b>	<b>190.58</b>	<b>71.94</b>	<b>1,835.62</b>	<b>1,817.26</b>
1	KTPS V	196.54	229.23	28.24	14.82	272.29	181.13	24.75	12.49	218.37	216.19
2	KTPS VI	194.84	229.23	28.24	14.82	272.29	181.13	24.75	12.49	218.37	216.18
3	KTPS VII	484.98	466.54	24.91	56.80	548.25	452.03	24.91	15.64	492.58	487.65
4	RTS-B	93.75	85.03	8.47	6.76	100.26	85.03	8.42	6.33	99.78	98.78
5	KTPP I	168.64	182.32	22.44	10.82	215.58	157.58	22.44	4.58	184.60	182.75
6	KTPP II	189.93	218.78	26.92	12.99	258.69	181.21	26.92	5.13	213.26	211.13
7	BTSPS	169.55	360.23	62.79	16.43	439.45	335.00	58.39	15.28	408.67	404.59
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>342.69</b>	<b>511.35</b>	<b>35.25</b>	<b>32.40</b>	<b>579.00</b>	<b>334.02</b>	<b>25.51</b>	<b>20.79</b>	<b>380.32</b>	<b>376.52</b>
8	NSHES	106.03	162.84	10.59	11.36	184.79	99.38	8.39	8.09	115.86	114.70
9	SLBHES	91.58	151.86	13.71	13.88	179.45	83.66	10.36	7.68	101.70	100.68
10	Small Hydel	39.31	46.67	1.67	1.34	49.68	43.59	1.14	0.93	45.67	45.21
11	Mini Hydel	6.64	7.91	0.28	0.23	8.42	7.40	0.28	0.16	7.84	7.76

Sr. No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed				Approved				
			Employee Expenses (a)	R&M Expenses (b)	A&G Expenses (c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)	Employee Expenses (a)	R&M Expenses (b)	A&G Expenses (c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)	O&M Expenses (a+b+c)+9 %
12	Pochampad- II	6.52	7.78	0.27	0.22	8.27	6.15	0.15	0.14	6.44	6.37
13	PJHES	29.55	46.48	2.49	2.21	51.18	29.76	1.82	1.48	33.06	32.72
14	LJHES	31.80	46.93	2.50	2.23	51.66	30.42	2.50	1.71	34.63	34.29
15	PCHES	31.24	40.88	3.74	0.93	45.55	33.66	0.87	0.60	35.13	34.78
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,840.93</b>	<b>2,282.71</b>	<b>237.27</b>	<b>165.85</b>	<b>2,685.81</b>	<b>1,907.12</b>	<b>216.09</b>	<b>92.73</b>	<b>2,215.94</b>	<b>2,193.78</b>

### 3.9 RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE)

#### TGGENCO filings

3.9.1 The petitioner submitted that Debt-Equity ratio is considered as 70:30 as approved by the Commission in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023.

3.9.2 The rate of RoE as approved in MYT order dated 28.10.2024 has been considered as 20.713% for thermal stations and run-of river stations and 22.049% for hydel stations with pondage by grossing up the base rate of 15.5% and 16.5% with the applicable Corporate Tax rate of 22% with applicable Surcharge and CESS of 10% and 4% respectively.

3.9.3 The RoE approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and Claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.26: Return on Equity claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order (a)	Claimed (b)	Variation c=(b)-(a)
1	KTPS-V	127.89	141.05	13.16
2	KTPS-VI	139.36	153.68	14.32
3	KTPS-VII	299.35	317.02	17.67
4	RTS-B	7.16	7.90	0.74
5	KTPP-I	143.61	158.39	14.78
6	KTPP-II	215.68	233.98	18.30
7	BTPS	463.05	456.86	-6.19
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	115.21	127.15	11.94
9	Srisailam LB HES	202.47	223.58	21.11
10	Small Hydel	7.81	8.06	0.25
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	1.76	1.94	0.18
12	Pochampad- II HES	1.78	1.97	0.19
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	38.92	42.97	4.05
14	Lower Jurala HES	93.15	101.52	8.37
15	Pulichintala HES	26.97	29.15	2.18
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1884.17</b>	<b>2005.22</b>	<b>121.05</b>

3.9.4 The major reason for variation in the approved RoE and claimed RoE is on

account of change in the rate of tax considered for grossing up of the applicable rate of RoE as per Regulation No. 1 of 2019. TGGENCO is following new tax regime as per section 115 BAA of the Income Tax Act 1961. Since FY 2020-21 Effective tax rate is 25.168%. The Commission in MYT order dated 28.10.2024 has allowed effective tax rate of 25.17% to compute net return on equity.

### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.9.5 The Commission has approved Return on Equity in accordance with Clause 11 of the Regulation No. 1 of 2019 based on GFA approved station wise.

*“11.2. RoE shall be computed at the following base rates:*

*11.2.1. Thermal Generating Stations: 15.50%*

*11.2.2. Run of the river hydro Generating Station: 15.50%*

*11.2.3. Storage Type hydro Generating Stations including Pumped Storage Hydro Generating Stations and Run-of-River Generating Station with pondage: 16.50%:*

*11.2.4. Provided that:*

*a) the rate of return of a new Project shall be reduced by 1% for such period as may be decided by the Commission, if the Generating Station is found to be declared under commercial operation without commissioning of any of the Restricted Governor Mode Operation (RGMO)/ Free Governor Mode Operation (FGMO), data telemetry, communication system up to load dispatch centre or protection system:*

*b) as and when any of the above requirements in clause 11.2.4 are found lacking in a Generating Station based on the report submitted by the SLDC, RoE shall be reduced by 1% for the period for which the deficiency continues:*

*c) The base rates as specified above or as per Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014, including amendments thereto or any superseding Regulations, whichever is lower shall be used for the computation of RoE”*

3.9.6 The gross normative equity as on 31.03.2023 approved in MYT Order dated 28.10.2024 has been considered as the normative opening equity as on 01.04.2023.

3.9.7 The Commission has considered the base rate of ROE as 15.50% for thermal stations and 16.50% for hydel stations with pondage.

3.9.8 The Commission observed that the TGGENCO is following the new tax regime as per Section 115BAA of the Income Tax Act, 1961.

Sr.No	Particulars	Tax
1	Base Tax Rate	22%
2	Surcharge	10%
3	Health and Education Cess	4%
4	Effective Tax Rate	25.17%

3.9.9 The net allowable base rate is further grossed up with effective tax rate of 25.17% to compute the net return on equity.

3.9.10 The Return on Equity including tax approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, claimed and approved is detailed in Table below:

**Table 3.27: Return on Equity including Income Tax as claimed and approved for FY 2023-24**

Rs.in crore

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,396.10</b>	<b>1,468.88</b>	<b>1,468.87</b>
1	KTPS V	127.89	141.05	141.05
2	KTPS VI	139.36	153.68	153.68
3	KTPS VII	299.35	317.02	317.02
4	RTS-B	7.16	7.90	7.89
5	KTPP I	143.61	158.39	158.38
6	KTPP II	215.68	233.98	233.98
7	BTPS	463.05	456.86	456.86
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>488.07</b>	<b>536.34</b>	<b>535.92</b>
8	NSHES	115.21	127.15	127.06
9	SLBHES	202.47	223.58	223.30
10	Small Hydel	7.81	8.06	8.06
11	Mini Hydel	1.76	1.94	1.94
12	Pochampad- II	1.78	1.97	1.97
13	PJHES	38.92	42.97	42.92
14	LJHES	93.15	101.52	101.52
15	PCHES	26.97	29.15	29.15
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,884.17</b>	<b>2,005.22</b>	<b>2,004.79</b>

### 3.10 NON-TARIFF INCOME

#### TGGENCO filings

3.10.1 The actual Non-tariff Income for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.28: Non- Tariff Income claimed for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl. No	Station Name	FY 2023-24
1	KTPS V	10.35
2	KTPS VI	10.35
3	KTPS VII	13.05
4	RTS-B	1.71

Sl. No	Station Name	FY 2023-24
5	KTPP I	26.55
6	KTPP II	31.86
7	BTPS	6.57
8	NSHES	1.15
9	SLBHES	1.48
10	Small Hydel	0.12
11	Mini Hydel	0.02
12	Pochampad- II	0.02
13	PJHES	0.28
14	LJHES	0.28
15	PCHES	0.21
Income from sale of scrap realized from KTPS-O&M		66.95
<b>Total</b>		<b>170.95</b>

### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.10.2 TGDISCOMs submission is as under

- \* True-up Claim (FY 2023-24): 170.95 Crore
- \* Approved in Mid-Term Review (MTR): Rs. 35.99 Crore
- \* Reported in Annual Accounts: 289.21 Crore (classified under "Other Income")

The stakeholder stated that the Non- Tariff Income (NTI) considered in the true-up claim by TGGENCO appears to be significantly understated. As per the audited annual accounts for FY 2023-24, the total NTI amounts to Rs. 289.21 Crore, comprising:

- \* Rs. 76.59 Crore from fly ash utilization
- \* Rs. 172.66 Crore from scrap sales
- \* Rs. 14.83 Crore from interest income

This reported figure substantially exceeds both the true-up claim and the amount approved in the MTR. In light of this discrepancy, the stakeholder requested the Commission to:

- \* Consider the full NTI of 289.21 Crore as per the audited financials
- \* Deduct the same from the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR)
- \* Undertake station-wise reconciliation to ensure accurate cost allocation and tariff determination.

This adjustment is essential to uphold transparency and ensure that consumers are not burdened with costs that are offset by substantial non-operational revenues.

3.10.3 Stakeholder has made an observation that the petition did not mention whether TGGENCO received the late payment surcharge (LPS) from TGDISCOMs and submitted that if received the same shall be deducted from the true up amount.

### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.10.4 TGGENCO submitted that Non-Tariff Income arrived during the year is Rs. 170.95 Crore., Rs.170.87 Crore were passed on the TGDISCOMS & Rs. 0.08 Crore were passed on the Karnataka ESCOMs. The amounts passed on the TGDISCOMS Consists of:

- i. Regular Non-Tariff income— Rs.27.32 Crore.
- ii. Sale of Fly Ash —Rs.76.09 Crore. &
- iii KTPS Scrap Sale — Rs.66.95 Crore.

3.10.5 From sale of KTPS Scrap proceeds, TGGENCO realised Rs.485.05 Crore, after adjusting the balance depreciable value Rs.351.16 Crore. Thus, the net savings are only Rs.133.89 Crore. As the amounts were received in two trenches, transactions were also recorded in two ENS:

3.10.6 Accordingly, the credits were passed on to TGDISCOMS in two parts 50% in FY 2022-23 amounting to Rs.66.95 Crore & 50% in FY 2023-24 amounting to Rs.66.95 Crore.

3.10.7 TGGENCO did not collect any Late Payment Surcharges from its beneficiaries.

### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.10.8 The petitioner asked to submit the Delayed Payment Surcharge received during the FY 2023-24. The petitioner submitted that Delayed Payment Surcharge was not collected from DISCOMs for FY 2023-24.

The petitioner was asked to submit the detailed justification along with the reconciliation of non-tariff income claimed with Audited Accounts. The petitioner replied that Profit on sale of KTPS ABC stations Assets passed to the extent of Rs. 66.95 Crore only duly adjusting the balance depreciable value.

In respect of Rs. 7.07 Crore, the revenue is receivable from rendering the O&M Service to AMRP lift Irrigation scheme.

The difference between non-tariff income claimed Rs. 170.95 crores as against audited accounts Rs. 296.28 crore are detailed below.

- i. The balance depreciation of KTPS-O&M phased out units is Rs. 105.72 Crores.
- ii. Revenue from O&M services rendered to AMRP Puttamgandi Project,

I&CAD, Government of Telangana Rs. 7.07 Crore is not Non-Tariff Income, the same is not passed on.

- iii. IND AS Interest (Non-Cash) income of Rs. 12.55 Crore is not considered. Non-Tariff Income includes interest income based on EIR-Ind AS (Rs. 11.57 Cr.) and Security Deposits (Rs. 0.98 Cr.) that are notional entries passed to comply with Indian accounting standard (Ind As) fair valuation. Hence, the same is not passed on.
- iv. As on 31.03.2020, the net asset value of KTPS O&M units as per books of accounts Rs. 139.72 Crores whereas the net asset value as per Regulatory books is Rs. 351.16 Crore. In compliance to the above order, the differential net asset value of Rs. 211.44 Crore (i.e., as per Regulated books Rs. 351.16 Crores and as per annual accounts Rs.139.72 Crores), an amount of Rs. 105.72 is adjusted in 2022-23 and Rs. 105.72 Crore now adjusted in 2023-24

3.10.9 The Commission after prudence check and based on audited accounts in terms of clause 16(a) of Regulation No.1 of 2019 allows the NTI as shown in table below:

**Table 3.29: Non-Tariff Income as approved for FY 2023-24**

**Rs.in crore**

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	-	<b>100.44</b>	<b>100.44</b>
1	KTPS V	-	10.35	10.35
2	KTPS VI	-	10.35	10.35
3	KTPS VII	-	13.05	13.05
4	RTS-B	-	1.71	1.71
5	KTPP I	-	26.55	26.55
6	KTPP II	-	31.86	31.86
7	BTSP	-	6.57	6.57
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	-	<b>3.56</b>	<b>3.56</b>
8	NSHES	-	1.15	1.15
9	SLBHES	-	1.48	1.48
10	Small Hydel	-	0.12	0.12
11	Mini Hydel	-	0.02	0.02
12	Pochampad-II	-	0.02	0.02
13	PJHES	-	0.28	0.28
14	LJHES	-	0.28	0.28
15	PCHES	-	0.21	0.21
<b>A+B</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>35.99</b>	<b>104.00</b>	<b>104.00</b>
C	Income from sale of scrap realised from KTPS-O&M	-	66.95	66.95
<b>Total (A+B+C)</b>		<b>35.99</b>	<b>170.95</b>	<b>170.95</b>

### **3.11 ADDITIONAL PENSION LIABILITIES & WATER CHARGES**

#### **TGGENCO filings**

3.11.1 The Clause No. 19.8 of TGERC Regulation No.1 of 2019, specifies that the principle of “pay as you go” will be followed for unfunded past liabilities of pension and gratuity. Accordingly, the Commission is requested to approve the variation of additional pension liabilities from the approved values in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023. The additional Pension liability claimed for FY 2023-24 is of Rs. 1306.78 Crore against the approved of Rs.1168.08 Crore

3.11.2 The water charges at actual as per annual accounts claimed for FY: 2023-24 is of Rs. 17.97 Crore against the approved of Rs.68.32 Crore.

3.11.3 Water Charges are calculated as per G.O.Ms. No. 115, I & CAD (Reforms) Dept. Dated 27.06.2015. Computation of unit wise water charges as per above G.O were submitted. Further, water charges for FY 2023-24 are pending for payment for want of realisation of receivable from I & CAD for Rs. 119.96 Crores and receivable from Discom’s for Rs. 11,941.42 Crores.

#### **Stakeholders’ Submissions**

3.11.4 Stakeholder submitted that pension liability claim of Rs. 1306.78 Crore may be considered only after a rigorous prudence check, as it was not pre-approved and must be evaluated based on actual expenditure and regulatory norms.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.11.5 As per Clause No.19.8 of TSERC Regulations 1 of 2019, with regard to unfunded past liabilities, the commission will follow the principle of “Pay as you go”. Actual expenditure incurred by TGGENCO towards Additional pension liability of Rs. 1306.78 Crore against which the Commission has allowed Rs.1168.08 Crore in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023, hence a net amount of Rs.138.70 Crore was claimed separately.

3.11.6 Additional Pension Liabilities commitment is available in the audited Annual Accounts for the FY 2023-24 of TGGENCO. The additional Commitment is on account of increase in pension payments to the pensioners of TGSPDCL, TGNPDCL, TGTRANSCO and TGGENCO due to pay revision.

#### **Commission’s analysis & findings**

3.11.7 The Commission as part of additional information asked TGGENCO to

submit the documentary evidence for the Water Charges and additional pension liability claimed for the FY 2023-24.

3.11.8 TGGENCO in its reply has submitted the audited accounts for the FY 2023-24 which substantiate the claim of the TGGENCO is in line with the actual paid for the FY 2023-24. TGGENCO has further submitted in its reply that additional interest on Pension and Gratuity bonds issued to Master Trust cannot be claimed under employee cost, since it is actual pension and gratuity paid to employees, Pensioners of the erstwhile APSEB for services rendered prior to 01.02.1999, over and above the scheduled repayment as per the terms of the Pension and Gratuity bonds.

3.11.9 The Commission after prudence check allows the Water Charges and additional pension liabilities on actuals as claimed by TGGENCO as detailed below:

**Table 3.30: Other Charges approved for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in crore)

Sr.No	Station Name	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
1	Additional pension Liability	1,168.08	1,306.78	1,306.78
2	water charges	68.32	17.97	17.97
<b>3</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,236.40</b>	<b>1,324.75</b>	<b>1,324.75</b>

### 3.12 SUMMARY OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES FOR FY 2023-24

#### TGGENCO filings

3.12.1 The summary of Annual Fixed Charges Approved and Claimed by TGGENCO for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.31: Annual Fixed Charges for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
1	KTPS-V	382.53	487.70	105.17
2	KTPS-VI	497.88	596.54	98.66
3	KTPS-VII	1345.41	1453.93	108.52
4	RTS-B	117.82	135.79	17.97
5	KTPP-I	419.87	432.86	12.99
6	KTPP-II	749.19	872.32	123.12
7	BTPS	1419.88	1787.39	367.52
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	326.65	430.09	103.43
9	Srisailam LB HES	436.66	520.56	83.89
10	Small Hydrel	53.10	60.96	7.88
11	Mini Hydrel (Peddapally HES)	9.59	11.19	1.60
12	Pochampad- II HES	9.53	12.17	2.64

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Variation
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	101.24	127.68	26.45
14	Lower Jurala HES	263.32	288.29	24.97
15	Pulichintala HES	92.87	111.20	18.32
16	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>6225.54</b>	<b>7328.67</b>	<b>1,103.13</b>
17	Non-Tariff Income (-)	(35.99)	(170.95)	(134.96)
18	<b>Fixed Charges</b>	<b>6189.55</b>	<b>7157.72</b>	<b>968.17</b>
19	Additional Pension Liability	1168.08	1,306.78	138.70
20	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	(50.35)
21	<b>Total</b>	<b>7425.95</b>	<b>8482.47</b>	<b>1056.52</b>
22	<b>PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23</b>		271.69	271.69
23	<b>Total</b>	<b>7425.95</b>	<b>8754.16</b>	<b>1328.21</b>

\* Note: TGERC at Para No.4.1.40 specified to consider the impact of PRC for FY: 2022-23 as part of truing up at the end of 4th Control Period.

### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.12.2 Stakeholder submitted that Fixed charges of BTPS increased by Rs. 367.52 Crore. BTPS alone accounted for 33.32% of the increased fixed charges of TGGENCO.

3.12.3 Stakeholder additionally submitted that higher fixed charges of BTPS are also due to its inefficient execution. According to sub-clause 6.7.1 of clause 6.7 of Regulation 1 of 2019 “variations in capitalization on account of ...inefficiencies in implementation of a capital expenditure scheme” comes under controllable factors. Hence, higher fixed charges claimed by TGGENCO for BTPS shall not be allowed. The project’s auxiliary consumption increased from the approved 8.04% to 9.04%, specific coal consumption increased from 0.69 to 0.75 per kg/kwh and coal rate from Rs.3.276 to 3.588 per kwh. Total energy charge rate increased from R.3.311 to 3.617 per kwh, and total energy charges increased from the approved Rs.2094.60 crore to Rs.2287.69 crore. Requirement of working capital increased from Rs.272.87 crore to R.990.16 crore. Fixed charges increased from Rs.1419.88 crore to Rs.1780.82 crore. Permissibility of the claimed increases should be subjected to prudence check by the Commission.

3.12.4 Stakeholder further submitted that fixed charges of Nagarjuna Sagar HES also were 31.66% higher than approved by the Commission and the same needs to be scrutinized.

### TGGENCO Replies

3.12.5 The petitioner has replied that the Unit-IV (Last unit) of BTPS was commissioned on 09.01.2022 (i.e., FY 21-22). Hence, all the four units of the

station are operationalized from FY2022-23.

- 3.12.6 In the initial years the expenditure was low as the unit was under the warranty period. Subsequently, the Commission allowed the O&M expenses based on initial years actual expenditure. Hence the allowed O&M expenditure was very less. In True-up of FY 2023-24, actual expenditure as per audited annual accounts was claimed.
- 3.12.7 In the absence of base expenditure of a full year for projections, the Commission has provisionally approved O&M expenses of Rs.169.55 Crore in Mid-Term Review Order. However, actual O&M expense incurred is Rs.439.46 Crore. It is justifiable due to below mentioned reasons:
- 3.12.8 As per clause No.35(1)(1) CERC Regulations 2019, Normative O&M expenses of thermal generation stations of 250 MW series is Rs.37.84 Lakhs/ MW were allowed by the commission. If same were considered for BTPS the Normative O&M Expenses would have been Rs.408.672 Crore. The Normative O&M expenses allowed by the CERC is exclusive of security expenses and water charges, whereas, BTPS O&M Expenses claimed is of Rs.439.46 Crore at actuals as per Annual Accounts.
- 3.12.9 Further, O&M expenses approved by the commission for the FY 2023-24, with similar capacity of TGGENCO Thermal stations viz., KTPS V & VI (1000 MW) - Rs. 391.40 Crore and KTPP I & II (1100 MW) - Rs. 358.57 Crore.
- 3.12.10 Thus, the impact of variance in O&M Cost of BTPS is Rs. 269.91 Crore, in the overall variance.
- 3.12.11 Major variation in fixed charges of Nagarjuna Sagar are on account of O&M Expenses of Rs.78.78 Crore out of which Rs. 73.47 Crore is on account of Employee Expenses due to PRC-2022 impact and other provisions.
- 3.12.12 With regard to Interest on Working Capital of BTPS, the Commission provisionally approved 66.11 Crore. Based on the audited annual accounts, TGGENCO computed Interest on working capital as Rs. 99.81 Crore. Hence, the true-up claim is Rs.33.70 Crore.

Further, the major variation in fixed charges of BTPS are on account of:

- i. O&M Expenses Rs.269.91Crore.
- ii. Depreciation Rs. 71.62Crore.

iii. Interest on working capital Rs. 33.70 Crore.

3.12.13 The Commission has provisionally approved the fixed charges for FY 2023-24. The Commission is requested to review and determine the BTPS Fixed Charges in accordance with Clause No. 3.13 of Reg. 1 of 2019.

### Commission's Analysis and Findings

3.12.14 Component-wise Annual Fixed Charges (AFC) and other charges approved by the Commission is as shown in the Tables below:

**Table 3.32: Component-wise AFC & Other Charges approved for FY 2023-24**

(Rs.in Crore)

Sr.No.	Stations	O & M	Dep.	I&FC	IoWC	RoE	Less: NTI	AFC	
<b>A</b>	<b>Thermal</b>	<b>1,817.27</b>	<b>1,029.44</b>	<b>690.75</b>	<b>371.30</b>	<b>1,468.86</b>	<b>100.44</b>	<b>5,277.18</b>	
1	KTPS V	216.19	11.69	-	45.27	141.05	10.35	403.85	
2	KTPS VI	216.18	126.12	-	46.68	153.68	10.35	532.32	
3	KTPS VII	487.65	264.12	234.35	86.66	317.02	13.05	1,376.75	
4	RTS	98.78	13.56	-	7.52	7.89	1.71	126.05	
5	KTPP I	182.75	51.55	-	40.66	158.38	26.55	406.79	
6	KTPP II	211.13	194.41	111.31	52.60	233.98	31.86	771.56	
7	BTPS	404.59	367.98	345.09	91.91	456.86	6.57	1,659.85	
<b>B</b>	<b>Hydel</b>	<b>376.52</b>	<b>322.57</b>	<b>131.37</b>	<b>32.49</b>	<b>535.91</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>1,395.30</b>	
8	NSHES	114.70	131.95	6.31	9.27	127.06	1.15	388.13	
9	SLBHES	100.68	86.41	39.06	10.13	223.30	1.48	458.10	
10	Small Hydel	45.21	1.69	-	2.03	8.06	0.12	56.87	
11	Mini Hydel	7.76	0.34	0.02	0.36	1.94	0.02	10.39	
12	Pochampad-II	6.37	0.60	0.81	0.32	1.97	0.02	10.05	
13	PJHES	32.72	11.50	14.63	2.53	42.92	0.28	104.02	
14	LJHES	34.29	70.83	50.81	5.25	101.52	0.28	262.42	
15	PCHES	34.78	19.25	19.73	2.60	29.15	0.21	105.31	
<b>C</b>	<b>Total (C=A+B)</b>	<b>2,193.79</b>	<b>1,352.02</b>	<b>822.13</b>	<b>403.79</b>	<b>2,004.78</b>	<b>104.00</b>	<b>6,672.48</b>	
D	Non-Tariff Income from sale of scrap realised from KTPS-O&M								66.95
E	Additional Pension Liability								1,306.78
F	Water charges								17.97
<b>G</b>	<b>Total (G=C-D+E+F)</b>							<b>7,930.28</b>	
H	PRC Impact								271.69
<b>I</b>	<b>Total (I=G+H)</b>							<b>8,201.97</b>	

3.12.15 Based on the above, the Annual Fixed Charges (AFC) claimed by TGGENCO and as approved by the Commission is as shown in the Tables below:

**Table 3.33: AFC & Other Charges claimed vs approved for FY 2023-24  
(Rs.in Crore)**

Sl.No.	Station	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
1	KTPS-V	382.53	487.70	414.20
2	KTPS-VI	497.88	596.54	542.67
3	KTPS-VII	1,345.41	1,453.93	1,389.80
4	RTS-B	117.82	135.79	127.76
5	KTPP-I	419.87	432.86	433.35
6	KTPP-II	749.19	872.32	803.42
7	BTPS	1,419.88	1,787.39	1,666.42
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	326.66	430.09	389.28
9	Srisaillam LB HES	436.67	520.56	459.58
10	Small Hydel	53.08	60.96	56.99
11	Mini Hydel (Peddapally HES)	9.59	11.19	10.42
12	Pochampad- II HES	9.53	12.17	10.07
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	101.23	127.68	104.30
14	Lower Jurala HES	263.32	288.29	262.70
15	Pulichintala HES	92.88	111.20	105.52
16	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>6,225.54</b>	<b>7,328.67</b>	<b>6,776.48</b>
17	Non-Tariff Income (-)	35.99	170.95	170.95
18	<b>Fixed Charges</b>	<b>6,189.55</b>	<b>7,157.72</b>	<b>6,605.53</b>
19	Additional Pension Liability	1,168.08	1,306.78	1,306.78
20	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	17.97
21	<b>Total</b>	<b>7,425.95</b>	<b>8,482.47</b>	<b>7,930.28</b>
22	PRC-2022 Impact for FY 2022-23		271.69	271.69
23	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7,425.95</b>	<b>8,754.16</b>	<b>8,201.97</b>

### 3.13 ENERGY CHARGES

#### TGGENCO filings

3.13.1 The Energy Charges have been computed in accordance with Clause No. 21 of TGERC Regulation No. 1 of 2019. The actual values of Coal rate, oil rate, GCV and Normative operating parameters viz., Auxiliary consumption, Gross Station Heat Rate and Secondary Fuel Consumption are considered for computation of approved Energy charges. The Actual Energy charges computed considering the actual operating parameters, coal rate, Oil Rate and GCV as per TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019.

**Table 3.34: Energy Charges for FY 2023-24****(Rs.in Crore)**

<b>Station</b>	<b>As per FCA Bill Normative</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Variation</b>
KTPS-V	1289.36	1213.834	-75.53
KTPS-VI	1259.70	1118.084	-141.61
KTPS-VII	2013.86	1964.284	-49.57
RTS-B	103.17	122.6103	19.44
KTPP-I	1235.72	1133.637	-102.08
KTPP-II	1445.26	1366.841	-78.42
BTPS	2094.60	2287.688	193.09
<b>Total</b>	<b>9441.67</b>	<b>9206.98</b>	<b>-234.69</b>

**Stakeholders' Submissions**

3.13.2 Stakeholder submitted that the energy charges of BTPS are increased from Rs. 3.31 per unit to 3.62 per unit by 9.37%. An important reason for this higher energy charges was higher Gross Station Heat rate (GSHR); While the Commission approved GSHR for this plant was 2273 kcal/kWh actual GSHR of this plant during the FY 2023-24 was 2474 kcal/kWh. Actual GSHR is 8.84% higher than the approved heat rate. The higher heat rate indicates inefficient operation of the plant. Electricity consumers in the state shall not be burdened due to inefficient operation of this plant. It is well known that TGGENCO procured substandard machinery from BHEL for this plant. This plant was facing troubles since its synchronization with the grid. We request the Commission not allow higher heat rate of this plant.

3.13.3 Stakeholder further submitted that BTPS recorded higher gross station heat rate than approved by the Commission. TGGENCO replied that due to integration of renewable energy, the units of BTPS were running in low load operations due to back down. Hence, the auxiliary consumption has increased and also heat rate obtained is poor. Then this should have been the case with all the thermal stations of TGGENCO. While as per the petition all other thermal power stations recorded higher than threshold PLFs, BTPS recorded lower PLF. Sub-clause 6.7.3 of clause 6.7 of this Regulation includes variations in performance parameters such as Availability, Auxiliary Consumption, Secondary fuel oil consumption, and Gross Station Heat Rate under controllable factors. In accordance with the provisions of this Regulation higher auxiliary consumption and gross station heat rate claimed by TGGENCO in the case of BTPS shall not be allowed.

3.13.4 Stakeholder submitted that another reason for higher energy charges of

BTPS was the higher coal price paid by BTPS than approved by the Commission. While the approved coal price for BTPS was Rs. 3.28 per kg the price claimed by TGGENCO for this plant was Rs. 3.59 per kg. Apart from BTPS and RTS-B all other plants of TGGENCO reported lower coal prices compared to the ones approved by the Commission. Higher coal prices paid by BTPS needs to be scrutinized.

3.13.5 TGGENCO replied that Ministry of Coal allocated 100% domestic coal from SCCL group of mines to TGGENCO thermal stations. The coal price shall be as per the price notification issued by SCCL. This reply of TGGENCO gives rise to further question on BTPS experiencing different price trends when all the thermal stations of TGGENCO face the same price.

3.13.6 Stakeholder submitted that the positive impact of energy conservation measures taken and wide variation in auxiliary consumption of thermal power plants ranging from 4.24% to 11.09% for KTPS V stage and 15.39% for RTS-B show that there is scope for efficiency improvement. RTS B is a 54 year old plant whose extended PPA expired on 3.6.2024 and the PPA of 28-year old KTPS V stage will expire on 31.3.2029.

3.13.7 Another stakeholder submitted that Auxiliary consumption has to be limited to the approved percentage. Auxiliary consumption above the approved percentage shall not be allowed. TGGENCO replied that as per clause 3.13.2 of TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019 the True-up should be a comparison of the actual operational and financial performance vis-à-vis the approved forecast. In this context it has to be noted that according to clause 3.13.3 of this Regulation *“Upon completion of the review under clause 3.13.2 of this Regulation the Commission shall attribute any variations or expected variations in performance, for variables specified under clause 6.7 and clause 6.8 of this Regulation to factors within the control of the Petitioner (controllable factors) or to factors beyond its control (uncontrollable factors).”*

3.13.8 Clause 6.7.3 of the Regulation 1 of 2019 includes variations in performance parameters such as Availability, Auxiliary Consumption, Secondary fuel oil consumption, and Gross Station Heat Rate under controllable factors. These provisions uphold the contentions of the stakeholder that auxiliary consumption has to be limited to the approved percentage.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.13.9 Petitioner replied that normative energy charges for BTPS were computed

considering actual values of Coal price, Oil price, GCV and Normative operating parameters viz., Auxiliary Consumption, Gross Station Heat Rate and Secondary Fuel Consumption. Actual energy charges were computed considering the actual operating parameters, coal rate, oil rate and GCV for True-up of FY 2023-24.

- 3.13.10 Due to integration of renewable energy, the units of BTPS were running in low load operations due to back down. Hence the auxiliary consumption has been increased and also poor heat rate obtained.
- 3.13.11 BHEL is a Maharathna Central PSU and has expertise in establishment of Thermal Power Stations across the country and also is the sole manufacturer of BTG in the Govt Sector.
- 3.13.12 As per clause 3.13.2 of TGERC Regulation 1 of 2019, the True-up shall be a comparison of the actual operational and financial performance vis-a-vis the approved forecast.
- 3.13.13 ECR approved by the Commission is provisional. Variation in fuel prices shall be adjusted based on the actual price of coal in accordance with the provisions under clause 21.10 & 21.11 of regulations No.1 of 2019.
- 3.13.14 Ministry of coal allocated 100% domestic coal from SCCL group of mines to TGGENCO thermal Stations. The coal price shall be as per the price notification issued by SCCL.
- 3.13.15 The auxiliary consumption of RTS-B is more as the Unit served more than useful life of thermal power plants. The BTPS plant is commissioned during FY 2021-22 and during the stabilisation period unit performance may be lower. The normative auxiliary consumption of BTPS for FY 2023-24 is 8.5% as approved by the Commission in MYT order dated 22.03.2022. The working capital requirement increased due to actual increase in energy charge rate and O&M expenses. The Commission has approved less O&M expenses in respect of BTPS which led to much variation in working capital.
- 3.13.16 As per clause 3.13.2 of Regulation 1 of 2019, the True-up shall be a comparison of the actual operational and financial performance vis-a-vis the approved forecast.

**Commission's analysis & findings**

- 3.13.17 The Commission has observed that there is huge difference between GCV billed and GCV Received. For most of the plants, the difference in GCV billed

& received is more than 300 kcal, which could have resulted in grade slippage. The petitioner was asked to submit justification for the same and the basis on which bill is raised on the DISCOMS.

3.13.18 The petitioner has submitted that as per clause No. 21.6 of Regulation No 1 of 2019, weighted average GCV of coal 'As Received' shall be considered for computation of energy charges. Under clause 21.7 and 21.10 of Regulation 1 of 2019, it was mentioned that average GCV of coal 'as fired' to be considered.

3.13.19 Further, the Commission at para-No. 6.10.7 of order on True-up for FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 and Business Plan, Capital Investment Plan & Multi Year Tariff for FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24 for Singareni Thermal Power Project (2x600MW) dated 28.08.2020, has stated that adjustment of the variation in GCV considered in tariff determination is on 'as fired GCV' of coal.

3.13.20 Accordingly, as per the Regulations, GCV as Fired has been considered for computation of Energy Charge Rate (ECR) for TGGENCO thermal stations for the FY 2023-24 billing.

3.13.21 All the TGGENCO Thermal Stations are sourcing coal from M/s SCCL. The billing for the coal supplied by SCCL is based on the Grade of coal determined at loading points. SCCL is supplying different grades of coal ranging from G15-G7 grades from their group of mines. On receipt of coal at thermal stations from various mines of SCCL, coal is being utilised in blend with the available ground stocks from the stockyards. The GCV of coal is being measured by collecting samples from the conveyor belt before fed in to boilers on daily basis and the weighted average GCV of coal determined on 'As Fired' on monthly basis has been considered for computation of ECR. The actual GCV losses and difference in GCV from billing (As Billed) to firing (As Fired) because of the following factors.

- a. Difference in GCV measurement methods: GCV 'As Billed' is the delivered GCV of coal which is measured on Equilibrated basis from the coal sample collected at loading end for determination of grade of coal for pricing purpose. The surface moisture and hence the total moisture does not get factored in to GCV 'As Billed'. GCV. 'As Fired' is the GCV of coal fed to the boilers duly factorising total moisture.
- b. Handling and stocking losses at power plant due to weathering, spontaneous combustion of coal and addition of moisture. As per the guidelines of CEA coal stocks equivalent to 17-26 days shall be maintained at power stations.
- c. Blending of different coal grades with varied GCV being supplied by

SCCL at power station.

3.13.22 The actual expenditure incurred towards cost of coal and oil shall be recovered through tariff (ECR) for the thermal stations.

3.13.23 Provisional bills are raised on TGDISCOMs based on the energy charge rate approved by the Commission in MYT order dated. 22.03.2022. Subsequently, fuel cost adjustment bills have been raised based on actual landed cost of coal and oil and weighted average GCV (As Fired) on monthly basis.

3.13.24 The Commission has considered controllable factors like Auxiliary Consumption, Gross Station Heat Rate and Secondary Fuel oil consumption on normative basis. Further, the landed price & calorific value of secondary fuel, weighted average gross calorific value of coal and landed price of coal are uncontrollable factors and considered on actuals basis. The energy charges so arrived are in line with the claim of the petitioner.

3.13.25 Regarding Energy Charge Rate (ECR), the Commission is of the view that any variation in fuel prices on account of change in GCV of coal or gas or liquid fuel shall be billed in accordance with the provisions under clause 21.10 and 21.11 of Regulation No.1 of 2019.

### 3.14 SUMMARY OF TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24

#### TGGENCO filings

The True-up charges claimed for FY 2023-24 is tabulated below:

**Table 3.35: True up for FY 2023-24 claimed**  
(Rs. in Crore)

Sl.No	Component	Approved/ Normative	Claimed	Variation
		(a)	(b)	(c)=(b)-(a)
1	Fixed Charges	6189.55	7157.72	968.17
2	Additional Pension Liability	1168.08	1306.78	138.70
3	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	-50.35
4	Energy Charges	9441.67	9206.98	-234.69
5	PRC for FY: 2022-23*	--	271.69	271.69
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16867.62</b>	<b>17961.14</b>	<b>1093.52</b>

\*Note: TGERC at Para No.4.1.40 specified to consider the impact of PRC for FY: 2022-23 as part of truing up at the end of 4th Control Period.

The true-up charges claimed for FY 2023-24 including impact of PRC-2022 is amounting to Rs. 1093.52 Crore.

3.14.1 The petitioner has submitted that the Commission shall attribute any variations in performance to factors within control of Petitioner (controllable factors) or to factors beyond its control (uncontrollable factors). Accordingly

actual claim on beneficiaries after sharing of gains and losses as per clause 6.8 and 6.9 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019 arrived is Rs. 723.32 Crore (Form-16). However, the claim on beneficiaries shall be adjusted to actual availabilities after determination of true-up by the Commission.

### **Stakeholders' Submissions**

- 3.14.2 Stakeholder submitted that TGGENCO claimed Rs. 1,093.92 Crore towards true up charges for FY 2023-24. At the same time, it brought down actual claim to Rs. 723.32 Crore after sharing gains and losses. But it did not include in Form-16 in the true up petition. Without this information it is not possible to assess the actual claim of TGGENCO.
- 3.14.3 Another stakeholder submitted that True up of Rs.1093.52 crore works out to 6.48% over revenue requirement of Rs.16867.62 crore approved in the MYT. Without true-down amounts - water charges, energy charges, non-tariff income and interest and finance charges - claims for true-up would work out to Rs.1531.35 crore.
- 3.14.4 Stakeholder submitted that according to the Cost Audit Report for FY 2023-24 current liabilities and provisions increased from Rs. 11630.58 Crore in FY 2022-23 to Rs. 17,886.23 Crore in FY 2023-24. As a result, net current assets declined from Rs. 414.30 crore in FY 2022-23 to minus Rs. 3,932,64 Crore in FY 2023-24. This indicates that TGGENCO is facing serious financial situation.

### **TGGENCO Replies**

- 3.14.5 The Form-16 is a part of Tariff Formats communicated by the Commission along with Regulation 2 of 2023. Accordingly, the consolidated Form-16 is enclosed in Volume-3 of True-up petition at Page No.161.
- 3.14.6 The major variations in True-up of fixed charges are on account of O&M Expenses increased on account of PRC 2022 & BTPS Fixed Charges.
- 3.14.7 The claims of TGGENCO are in accordance with the TGERC Regulations 1 of 2019.
- 3.14.8 TGGENCO submitted that the current Liabilities increased due to increase in trade payables towards Coal and Oil and other O&M payments. Delay in realisation of Trade receivable resulted delay in payment of Coal bills resulted in increase of Current Liabilities.

**Commission's analysis & findings**

3.14.9 Based on the analysis in the previous sections, the summary of the True up charges claimed by TGGENCO and as approved by the Commission is as shown in the Table below:

**Table 3.36: Summary of True up Charges approved for FY 2023-24**  
(Rs.in Crore)

Sl.No.	Particulars	MTR Order	Claimed	Approved
1	Fixed Charges	6,189.55	7,157.72	6,605.53
2	Additional Pension Liability	1,168.08	1,306.78	1,306.78
3	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	17.97
5	PRC for FY: 2022-23*	-	271.69	271.69
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7,425.95*</b>	<b>8,754.16*</b>	<b>8,201.97</b>

\*Excluding energy charges claimed in the petition

**3.15 SHARING OF GAINS/LOSSES**

3.15.1 The Commission has determined the Annual Fixed Cost of the Stations for FY 2023-24 in accordance with clause 21.1 of the Regulation No.1 of 2019. The same is reproduced below:

*“21.1. The fixed cost of a Thermal Generating Station shall be computed on annual basis, based on norms specified under these Regulations, and recovered on monthly basis under capacity charge. The total capacity charge payable for a Generating Station shall be shared by its Beneficiaries as per their respective percentage share / allocation in the capacity of the Generating Station.”*

3.15.2 The sharing of the Gain/loss on account of controllable and uncontrollable factors has been computed as per clause 6.8 and 6.9 of Regulation No. 1 of 2019 for each station and approved sharing of gains and losses is detailed in table below:

**Table 3.37: Summary of approved sharing of gain/loss to the beneficiaries**  
Rs.in crore

Sl. No.	Particulars	As per MTR Order 23.03.2023 (A)	Approved (B)	Variation (C)=(B-A)	Sharing of (Gains)/Losses		
					Genera ting Compa ny	Benefici aries	Total to be recovered from Beneficiary
	<b>Thermal</b>						
1	KTPS V	378.69	403.85	25.16	29.19	-4.03	374.66
2	KTPS VI	494.04	532.32	38.29	31.35	6.94	500.98
3	KTPS VII	1,339.26	1,376.75	37.49	49.26	-11.77	1,327.49
4	RTS	116.82	126.05	9.24	6.63	2.61	119.43
5	KTPP I	414.26	406.79	-7.47	16.52	-23.98	390.27
6	KTPP II	742.46	771.56	29.10	42.43	-13.33	729.13

Sl. No.	Particulars	As per MTR Order 23.03.2023 (A)	Approved (B)	Variation (C)=(B-A)	Sharing of (Gains)/Losses		
					Generating Company	Beneficiaries	Total to be recovered from Beneficiary
7	BTPS	1,413.00	1,659.85	246.85	60.30	186.55	1,599.55
	<b>Hydel</b>						
8	NSHES	325.88	388.13	62.25	44.33	17.92	343.80
9	SLBHES	436.22	458.10	21.89	20.92	0.97	437.19
10	Small Hydel	53.05	56.87	3.82	3.59	0.23	53.28
11	Mini Hydel	9.54	10.39	0.85	0.73	0.13	9.67
12	Pochampad- II	9.48	10.05	0.57	0.49	0.08	9.56
13	PJHES	100.80	104.02	3.23	4.27	-1.04	99.75
14	LJHES	263.23	262.42	-0.81	4.68	-5.49	257.74
15	PCHES	92.84	105.31	12.46	9.72	2.74	95.58
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6,189.55</b>	<b>6,672.48</b>	<b>482.93</b>	<b>324.41</b>	<b>158.52</b>	<b>6,348.07</b>
16	Less: Profit due to sale of KTPS O&M Scrap		66.95	66.95		66.95	66.95
17	Additional pension Liabilities	1,168.08	1,306.78	138.70		138.70	1,306.78
18	Water Charges	68.32	17.97	-50.35		-50.35	17.97
19	PRC Impact for FY 2022-23	0.00	271.69	271.69		271.69	271.69
20	<b>Total</b>	<b>7,425.95</b>	<b>8,201.97</b>	<b>776.02</b>	<b>324.41</b>	<b>451.61</b>	<b>7,877.56</b>

3.15.3 The Commission directs TGGENCO to bill to the beneficiaries viz., TGDISCOMs the claim towards total sharing/passing through of gains/losses for FY 2023-24.

### 3.16 AFC reduction due to Non-Availability

#### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.16.1 The stakeholders have submitted that Fixed Charges (AFC) by a generating station is contingent upon achieving the Normative Annual Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF) as specified under the said Regulations. The relevant clause stipulates as follows:

“Full Capacity Charges shall be recoverable at Normative Annual Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF) specified above of these Regulations. Recovery of Capacity Charges below the level of Normative Annual Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF) will be on a pro-rata basis. At zero availability, no Capacity Charges shall be payable.”

3.16.2 In light of the above provision, the stakeholders have submitted that the

recovery of AFC must be strictly aligned with the actual availability achieved by the generating stations. Accordingly, the following stations have recorded availability below the prescribed normative threshold, warranting proportionate disallowance of their AFC claims:

Station Name	Target Availability (%)	Actual Availability (%)	AFC Claims (Rs. Crore)	AFC as per Actual Availability (Rs. Crore)	Dis-allowance (Rs. Crore)
RTS-B	75	45.04	135.79	81.55	54.24
BTPS	85	74.11	1787.39	1885.39	229.00

3.16.3 The stakeholders have further stated that the Commission may disallow the excess AFC claimed by the above stations to the extent of shortfall in actual availability vis-a-vis the normative benchmark, in accordance with the provisions of the applicable Tariff Regulations.

3.16.4 Stakeholders have further submitted that the much delayed, new and high-cost project BTPS (4x270 MW) could not achieve the normative PLF of 85% during 2023-24 except for two months, and actual PLF of 74.11% resulted in lesser generation of power.

#### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.16.5 TGGENCO replied that during FY 2023-24, TGGENCO claimed Annual Fixed Charges as approved in MTR order dated 23.03.2023 on pro-rata of Actual Availability below the Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF) in accordance with clause 17.2 of Regulation 1 of 2019.

3.16.6 Further, on approval of true up for FY 2023-24, the Annual Fixed charges will be claimed by TGGENCO on pro-rata of Actual Annual Availability Factor as certified by TGSLDC against Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF). The petitioner further submitted that TGGENCO has revised the station-wise fixed charges and claimed an amount of Rs.7328.67 crores based on normative availabilities / capacity index, where as in summary of Annual Fixed Charges it was indicated as Rs.7024.68 crores duly considering the actual availability/ actual capacity index of thermal/hydel stations during FY 2023-24. The reduction due to Non-availability of Plants for an Amount of Rs. 303.99 Crore has not been adjusted in the monthly bills of TGDISCOMs. The actual/final adjustment will be based on approved fixed charges in True-up order of the Commission.

#### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.16.7 The Commission has sought clarification from TGGENCO on AFC reductions due to non-availability of thermal / hydel stations. In response, the

petitioner submitted that it has provisionally issued bills on DISCOMs/ESCOMs as approved in MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and adjusted with actual availability / capacity index of thermal/hydel stations during FY 2023-24.

3.16.8 Based on the clarification provided by TGGENCO, the Commission observed that for some of the hydel stations the fixed cost reduction is not shown due to non-availability of Plant.

3.16.9 The Commission directed the TGGENCO to submit the Station-wise actual availability for the Hydel Power Stations duly certified by the TGSLLDC for FY 2023-24. In response the petitioner replied that in accordance with clause 18 of Regulation No 1 of 2019, the normative capacity index for recovery of annual capacity charges for SLBHES is 85%. The capacity index as certified by TGSLLDC for FY 2023-24 is 85.40%. Accordingly, the provisional capacity charges bill has been raised on TGDISCOMs and the capacity indices of hydel stations are computed in accordance with clause 18 & 22 of Regulation 1 of 2019. The capacity index in respect of SLBHES, Nagarjuna Sagar Hydel Station, Priyadarshini Jurala HES and Lower Jurala HES certified by TGSLLDC were submitted.

3.16.10 Further the Commission has sought clarification on number of days of outage specifically for Srisaillam Left Bank Power House. The data on reconciliation by TGSLLDC shows that the number of days of outage was incorrectly shown as 105 days instead of 348 days for Unit IV of SLBPH and stated that on approval of true up for FY 2023-24, the Annual Fixed charges will be claimed by TGGENCO on pro-rata of Actual Annual Availability Factor as certified by TGSLLDC against Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF).

3.16.11 The Commission has observed that actual availability of the BTPS is 75.48%, which is less than the normative availability due to technical reasons such as boiler tube leaks, flame failures, governor oil and pump replacement, annual overhaul, etc.

3.16.12 Considering all the above, Commission directs TGGENCO to bill the beneficiaries/TGDISCOMS/ESCOMs, the differential amount between already recovered based on MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and total to be recovered after sharing of gains/ losses approved in this order, on pro-rata of Actual Annual Availability Factor as certified by TGSLLDC against Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF) as per clauses 21.2 & 22.2 of the

Regulation No. 1 of 2019.

### 3.17 INCENTIVE

#### TGGENCO filings

3.17.1 The incentive claimed by TGGENCO for the generation beyond the Target Plant Load Factor for Thermal stations at the rates specified in the Regulation 1 of 2019 is detailed below.

Station	Installed Capacity (MW)	Normative Auxiliary Consumption (%)	Energy Export (from 01.04.2023 to 31.03.2024) (MU)	Actual Generation (MU)	Target PLF for Incentive (%)	Generation at Target PLF (MU)	Normative Net Export (MU)	Generation eligible for Incentive (MU)	Incentive @50 ps/kwh (Rs. Cr)
	a	b	c	d	e	$f = a * e * 366 * 24 / 10^4$	$g = f - (f * b / 100)$	$h = d - f$	$i = h * 0.5$
KTPS Stage V	500	9.0	3,101.48	3,488.05	80%	3,513.60	3,197.38	0.00	0.00
KTPS Stage VI	500	7.5	3,174.03	3,340.30	80%	3,513.60	3,250.08	0.00	0.00
KTPS Stage-VII	800	5.25	5,756.62	6,011.28	85%	5,973.12	5,659.53	97.09	4.85
RTS-B	62.5	10.0	219.06	258.89	75%	411.75	370.58	0.00	0.00
KTPP Stage-I	500	7.5	3,521.31	3,748.54	80%	3,513.60	3,250.08	271.23	13.56
KTPP Stage-II	600	7.0	4,219.00	4,479.11	80%	4,216.32	3,921.18	297.82	14.89
BTPS	1080	8.50	6,325.39	6,953.82	85%	8,063.71	7,378.30	0.00	0.00
Total	4042.5		26,316.89	28,279.97		0.00	0.00	666.14	33.31

#### Commission's analysis & findings

3.17.2 The Incentive for achieving the normative PLF and additional generation over & above normative PLF is to be recovered directly from beneficiaries in accordance to Regulation No.1 of 2019 and directs TGGENCO to recover accordingly.

#### Objections & Replies on Performance of TGGENCO

##### Plant Load Factor

##### Stakeholders' Submissions

3.17.3 Stakeholder submitted that it is gratifying to note that thermal power plants of Telangana Power Generation Corporation Ltd. (TGGENCO) achieved PLF of 79.64%, while it is worked out to 83.12% considering the back down and regeneration deemed PLF. While units of KTPP and KTPS worked efficiently in terms of generation of power, exceeding the normative availability of plant load factor, new project BTPS's performance is a matter of concern. With a generation of 29538.74 MU, TGGENCO's contribution to TG power grid is worked to 27580.73 MU or 32.41%, with no crunch for coal supply from SCCL. GENCO earned a profit before tax of Rs.546.39 crore and a total

comprehensive income of Rs.426.92 crore for 2023-24.

### **TGGENCO Replies**

3.17.4 The commissioning of all units of BTPS were completed during FY 2021-22. For New thermal generating stations during stabilisation period the performance will be lower. Further due to poor quality of coal, generation is less and thereby availability lower during FY 2023-24. Even then the BTPS has achieved cumulative availability of 74.11%. (as certified by SLDC). Renewables integration has bearing on reducing load in Solar hours.

3.17.5 TGGENCO following the Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) notified by the ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) from 01.04.2016. Financial statements have to be drawn as per the Division II of the Schedule III of the Companies Act 2013. As per Ind-As Income Statement shall consists re-measurement Gain or loss on defined benefit plan (based on Actuarial Valuation Report) and tax impact thereon. Comprehensive income arrived after adjustment Income tax expense and other comprehensive income.

### **Commission's analysis & findings**

3.17.6 The Commission directs TGGENCO to take all the necessary and adequate steps to minimize the grade slippage and submit the efforts taken by TGGENCO in the true-up of respective years for considering the relaxed norms approved in this Order on its merit.

### **RE investments by TGGENCO**

#### **Stakeholders' Submissions**

3.17.7 Stakeholder submitted that installed capacity of GENCO contains just 1 MW of renewable energy (solar power) shows how it has not been allowed to take up renewable energy projects as a matter of deliberate policy of the state government, with PPAs for procurement of RE confined to private and central generating projects during the last one decade and the new RE policy of the state government emphasising on encouraging RE generation by private power projects. As a part and parcel of the erstwhile APSEB, with an experience of more than seven decades in generation of power, the policy approaches of the government of Telangana in neglecting the role of TGGENCO for taking up RE projects needs to be re-examined. Whether the present state government would rethink and chart out and implement an appropriate role for TGGENCO for setting up RE units or toe the line of its predecessor is to be seen. The installed hydro power generation capacity of GENCO is stagnating at 2441.76 MW, with no initiatives for taking up new

hydro power projects to the extent possible in the state.

**TGGENCO Replies**

3.17.8 Establishment of 4.6 MW PV Solar plant at Peddapally is in progress. TGGENCO has entered PPAs with TGNPDCL for sale of power generated from the Solar Plant. In principle consent was accorded by the Commission on 02.03.2023.

3.17.9 Further, TGGENCO has proposed the following Solar Power Plants in addition to the 1MW Solar plant at PJHES.

- 210KW Rooftop solar at ERP Shakthi Bhavan, TGGENCO,
- 15MW of Floating solar plant at Yadadri Thermal Power Station (YTPS) (5x800MW} & 28MW/ ground mounted Solar Plant & 183MW Floating solar plant at KTPP, TGGENCO.
- 107MW Ground mounted Solar at various Hydel & Thermal plants, 20MW Floating Solar plant & 5MW Roof Top Solar plant at BTPS, TGGENCO.

**Commission's analysis & findings**

3.17.10 The Commission has taken note of the submissions of the stakeholders and petitioners reply.

**Details of Actual Payments**

**Stakeholders' Submissions**

3.17.11 Stakeholder has questioned on the dues to be received by TGGENCO from TGDISCOMs, and the accumulated dues payable by TGGENCO to Singareni Collieries Company Limited. And also enquired on the defaults on the part of GENCO in paying back instalments of loans with interest to the banks and other financial institutions, and penalties paid and payable.

**TGGENCO Replies**

3.17.12 The filings are for the FY 2023-24, hence the particulars of the dues as on 31.03.2024 are furnished below:

- i. Dues receivable:
  - a) From TGDISCOMs - Rs.11,825.84 Crore.
  - b) From PCKL - Rs.115.58 Crore.
  - c) Others - Rs. 119.96 Crore.
  - d) Total - Rs.12,061.38 Crore.

Further, TGGENCO has been servicing the debt towards Principal and Interest regularly and there has been no default in repayments to the banks and Financial Institutions, against the term loans sanctioned.

ii. Dues payable to SCCL - Rs.7678.40 Crore.

**Commission's Analysis and Findings**

3.17.13 The Commission has taken note of the submissions of the stakeholders and petitioners reply.

**3.18 Adjustment of Annual Fixed Charges recovery, Incentives, and Energy Charges**

3.18.1 As specified in the preceding paragraphs, TGGENCO shall bill beneficiaries (DISCOMs/ESCOMs) for the differential AFC between what was already recovered under the MTR Order dated 23.03.2023 and the total amount approved in the present order after sharing of gains or losses (para 3.15.3). This adjustment must be calculated on a pro-rata basis using the Actual Annual Availability Factor certified by Telangana State Load Dispatch Centre (TGSLLDC) against the Normative Plant Availability Factor as per Regulation No.1 of 2019. (para 3.16.12). Further, incentives earned for achieving or exceeding the normative Plant Load Factor (PLF) shall be recovered directly from beneficiaries in accordance with the same regulation. (para 3.17.2). Any variation in the Energy Charge Rate due to changes in fuel prices or Gross Calorific Value (GCV) of coal, gas, or liquid fuel shall be billed as per clauses 21.10 and 21.11 of Regulation No.1 of 2019. (para 3.13.25).

**This Order is corrected and signed on this the 28th day of March, 2026.**

**SD/-**  
**(Cherukuri Srinivasa Rao)**  
**MEMBER (FINANCE)**

**SD/-**  
**(Raghu Kancharla)**  
**MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

**SD/-**  
**(Dr. Justice Devaraju Nagarjun)**  
**CHAIRMAN**

**//CERTIFIED COPY //**



2 AUG 2025  
ANDHRA JYOTHI

**తెలంగాణ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ గారితో సమక్షంలో**  
విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ భవన్, జి.టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్, కల్యాణ్ నగర్, హైదరాబాద్ - 500045

**తెలంగాణ పవర్ జనరేషన్ కార్పొరేషన్ లిమిటెడ్**  
బహిరంగ ప్రకటన

1. తెలంగాణ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ (అసోషియేట్డ్ లాల్స్) నియమ నిబంధనలు) రెగ్యులేషన్స్, 2019 మరియు తెలంగాణ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ (అపార సంవత్సరం లాల్స్) రెగ్యులేషన్ నం.2 తేదీ 2023లో చేసిన మరమ్మత్తు మరియు ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ యాక్ట్, 2003లోని సెక్షన్ 62/64 మరియు 86(1)(ఎ) క్రింద ప్రస్తుత భారత్ మరియు సైబరా ప్రాంత ప్రాంతం FY 2023-24 కొరకు ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ లాల్స్ తెలంగాణ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ (TGERC) సమక్షంలో తెలంగాణ పవర్ జనరేషన్ కార్పొరేషన్ లిమిటెడ్ (TGGENCO) 23.08.2025వ తేదీ ద్వారా చేసిన అందుమాలియా యావమ్మందికి సోదరు అనుబంధం.

2. రిఫర్ చేయబడిన సైబరాంట్ లాల్స్ దిగువ పేర్కొన్న కార్యాలయాల వద్ద లభిస్తాయి:  
(i) టీప్ జంజీర్ లోల్ & కమ్యూనిటీ రూమ్ నం.255, TGGENCO, విద్యుత్ సౌధ, ఖైరాతాబాద్, హైదరాబాద్ - 500082 మరియు దిగువ పేర్కొన్న TGGENCO జనరేషన్ స్టేషన్ కార్యాలయాల వద్ద లభిస్తాయి:  
(ii) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, కొత్తగూడెం TPS-V&VI స్టేషన్, కొత్త పాల్వంచ, భద్రాద్రి కొత్తగూడెం (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 507115  
(iii) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, కొత్తగూడెం TPS-VII స్టేషన్, కొత్త పాల్వంచ, భద్రాద్రి కొత్తగూడెం (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 507115  
(iv) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, కొత్తగూడెం TPP-I&II, రేలూఫూర్ గ్రామం, ఖుస్రూబాద్ మండలం, ఆరుకుంజర్ రూపాలపల్లి (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 506168  
(v) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, భద్రాద్రి TPS, ముఖ్యగూడెం, భద్రాద్రి కొత్తగూడెం (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 507115  
(vi) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, SLBPH, TGGENCO, శ్రీశైలం ద్వారా (విస్), తూగుపేట, నాగార్జునసాగర్ (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 509326  
(vii) టీప్ జంజీర్ O&M, NSPH, TGGENCO, NSHES, నాగార్జునసాగర్ సైలాస్, నాగార్జునసాగర్, నల్గొండ (జిల్లా), తెలంగాణ - 508203

అన్నింటిని వ్యక్తము సదుపాయం సైబరాంట్లను పైన తెలిపిన ఏదేని కార్యాలయం వద్ద కార్యాలయ వేళలో ఉందిగా తనిఖీ/వేరీఫికేషన్ చేయవలసిందిగా మరమ్మత్తు చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. ఈ ప్రకటనకు [www.tggenco.com](http://www.tggenco.com) ద్వారా సందర్శించవచ్చు. వాటి కొరకు సైబరాంట్లను దిగువన ఉన్న సెక్రటరీ, TGERC పాఠశాల ద్వారా తప్పనిసరిగా దాఖలు చేయాలి. అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు సైబరాంట్లను అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు పంపి వ్యక్తి(లు) పూర్తి చేయాలి. తప్పింది దిగువన, జి-మెయిల్ జి.టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ కి ఫోన్ నంబర్ 800 ఉంచాలి. అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు విస్తృతంగా సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. దానిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ తెలిపిన సమక్షంలో వివరాలను సమక్షంలో వివరాలను తెలిపిన దానిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. అభ్యంతరం/ సూచన దిగువ తెలిపిన సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.

3. సదుపాయం సైబరాంట్లను ఏదేని అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు ఉంటే, వాటిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. Chief Engineer/ Coal & Commercial, Room No.255/ TGGENCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad -500082 పాఠశాల 23.08.2025వ తేదీకి ముందుగా చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. ఈ ప్రకటనకు సమక్షంలో సెక్రటరీ, TGERC పాఠశాల ద్వారా తప్పనిసరిగా దాఖలు చేయాలి. అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు పంపి వ్యక్తి(లు) పూర్తి చేయాలి. తప్పింది దిగువన, జి-మెయిల్ జి.టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ కి ఫోన్ నంబర్ 800 ఉంచాలి. అభ్యంతరాలు/ సూచనలు విస్తృతంగా సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. దానిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ తెలిపిన సమక్షంలో వివరాలను సమక్షంలో వివరాలను తెలిపిన దానిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. అభ్యంతరం/ సూచన దిగువ తెలిపిన సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.

అభ్యంతరం తెలిపేవారి పేరు, పూర్తి చిరునామా, జి-మెయిల్ జి.టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ & ఫోన్ నంబర్	అభ్యంతరం(లు)/ సూచన(లు) సంక్షిప్త వివరాలు	TGGENCO కార్యాలయంలో అభ్యంతరం & టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్ ద్వారా అభ్యంతరం తెలిపిన తేదీ (అప్డేట్/కార్య)	అభ్యంతరం తెలిపే వ్యక్తి సమక్షంలో వివరాలను తెలిపిన తేదీ (అప్డేట్/కార్య)
--	--	---	--

4. FY 2023-24 కొరకు TGGENCO ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం సైబరాంట్ల సైబరాంట్ల దిగువ సమక్షంలో సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. 12.08.2025 (సోమవారం) తేదీకి ఉ.11.30 గం. వంటి TGERC కోర్టు వేళలో బహిరంగ వివరాల నిర్వహించడానికి తెలంగాణ ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ రెగ్యులేటరీ కమిషన్ భావిస్తోంది. సమక్షంలో వివరాలను తెలిపిన దానిని సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. TGERC పాఠశాల బహిరంగ వివరాల వేళలోగా తెలిపేవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.

ప్రకటన: హైదరాబాద్ నం- 23.08.2025  
విద్యుత్ TGGENCO

FY 2023-24 కొరకు ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం సైబరాంట్ల సైబరాంట్ల దిగువ సమక్షంలో సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.

క్ర.సం.	స్టేషన్	యావమ్మ	విలువలు
<b>I. భారత్</b>			
1	KTPS-V	రూ. కోట్లలో	487.70
2	KTPS-VI	రూ. కోట్లలో	596.54
3	KTPS-VII	రూ. కోట్లలో	1453.93
4	RTS-B	రూ. కోట్లలో	135.79
5	KTPP-I	రూ. కోట్లలో	432.66
6	KTPP-II	రూ. కోట్లలో	872.32
7	BTPS	రూ. కోట్లలో	1787.39
<b>II. సైబరాంట్</b>			
8	నాగార్జునసాగర్ HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	430.09
9	శ్రీశైలం LB HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	520.56
10	సైబరాంట్	రూ. కోట్లలో	60.96
11	మినీ సైబరాంట్	రూ. కోట్లలో	11.19
12	భీమవరం II HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	12.17
13	ప్రొద్దుపాడు జి.ఎస్.ఎస్. HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	127.68
14	దిగువ జి.ఎస్.ఎస్. HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	288.29
15	సైబరాంట్ HES	రూ. కోట్లలో	111.20
<b>FY 2023-24 కొరకు ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం సైబరాంట్ల సైబరాంట్ల దిగువ సమక్షంలో సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.</b>			
క్ర.సం.	స్టేషన్	యావమ్మ	విలువలు
<b>I. భారత్</b>			
1	KTPS-V	రూ. కోట్లలో	1213.834
2	KTPS-VI	రూ. కోట్లలో	1118.084
3	KTPS-VII	రూ. కోట్లలో	1964.284
4	RTS-B	రూ. కోట్లలో	122.610
5	KTPP-I	రూ. కోట్లలో	1133.637
6	KTPP-II	రూ. కోట్లలో	1366.841
7	BTPS	రూ. కోట్లలో	2287.088
<b>FY 2023-24 కొరకు ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం సైబరాంట్ల సైబరాంట్ల దిగువ సమక్షంలో సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము.</b>			
క్ర.సం.	కాంట్రాక్టు	యావమ్మ	విలువలు
1	స్టేషన్	రూ. కోట్లలో	968.17
2	అభ్యంతరం వ్యవస్థాపన	రూ. కోట్లలో	138.70
3	టి.ఎస్.ఛాంబర్	రూ. కోట్లలో	-50.35
4	విద్యుత్	రూ. కోట్లలో	-234.69
5	FY: 2022-23 కొరకు PRC	రూ. కోట్లలో	271.69
	మొత్తం ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం	రూ. కోట్లలో	1093.52

గమనిక: వాస్తవిక ట్రాన్సిమిషన్ విభాగం సమక్షంలో సమక్షంలో చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుకుంటున్నాము. TGERC నిర్వహించబడుతుంది.  
DIPR RO No.5897-PP/CL/ADVT/1/2025-26, Dt: 01.08.2025

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE  
TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Vidyut Nyantran Bhavan, G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad- 500045.

**TELANGANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED**

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

1. Notice is hereby given to all that Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited (TGGENCO), has filed, before the Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC), for True-up for FY 2023-24 for the existing thermal and hydel stations under Section 62/64 and 86(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and read with the Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019 and Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation No 2 of 2023 on 23.06.2025.

2. Copies of the filings referred are available at the office of the  
(i) The Chief Engineer/ Coal & Commercial/ Room No. 255, TGGENCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad -500082.  
and at the offices of the Generating Stations of TGGENCO at  
(ii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kothagudem TPS- V&VI Stages, New Paloncha, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt.), Telangana -507115.,  
(iii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kothagudem TPS-VII Stage, New Paloncha, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt.), Telangana-507115.,  
(iv) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kakatiya TPP-I&II, Chelpur (V), Ghanpur (M), Jayashankar Bhupalapally (Dt.), Telangana-506168.,  
(v) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Bhadradi TPS, Manuguru, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt.), Telangana-507115.,  
(vi) The Chief Engineer, O&M, SLBPH, TGGENCO, SRISAILAM DAM (West), Eegalapenta, Nagarkurnool (Dt.), Telangana -509326.  
(vii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, NSPH, TGGENCO, NSHES, Nagarjuna Sagar Pylon, Nagarjuna Sagar, Nalgonda (Dt.), Telangana -508203.

Interested persons may inspect/ peruse the said filings and take note thereof during office hours at any of the said offices free of cost. These proposals are also available on [www.tggenco.com](http://www.tggenco.com). A copy of these filings can be obtained from the above offices from 02.08.2025 onwards on payment of photocopying charges. The petition may also be accessed at TGERC website [www.tgerc.telangana.gov.in](http://www.tgerc.telangana.gov.in).

3. Objections/suggestions, if any, on the filings, together with supporting material may be sent to the Chief Engineer/ Coal & Commercial/ Room No. 255/ TGGENCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad- 500082 in person or through Registered Post so as to reach on or before 23.08.2025 by 5 pm. A copy of the same must also be filed with the Secretary, TGERC, at the address mentioned above. The objections/ suggestions should be duly signed and should carry full name and postal address, email id and contact number of the person(s) sending the objections/ suggestions. If the objections/ suggestions are filed on behalf of any organization or any category of consumers, it should be clearly mentioned. If the objector also wants to be heard in person it may also be specifically mentioned. The Objection/Suggestion should accompany the following statement:

Name & full address of the Objector along with e-mail id and contact number	Brief details of objection(s)/ Suggestion(s)	Whether copy of objection & proof of delivery at TGGENCO office enclosed (Yes/No)	Whether Objector wants to be heard in person (Yes/No)

4. The gist of the filings of TGGENCO True up Petition for FY 2023-24 are indicated in the Schedule below.

5. Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission intends to conduct a Public Hearing at TGERC Court Hall on 12.09.2025 (Saturday) from 11.30 Hrs onwards. Stakeholders desiring to be heard in person shall inform to the Commission Secretary, TGERC before the date of public hearing.

Place: Hyderabad.  
Date: 02.08.2025.  
Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR  
TGGENCO

**SCHEDULE  
STATION-WISE ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR  
FY 2023-24 (Rs. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	Station	Units	Values
<b>I. THERMAL</b>			
1	KTPS-V	Rs. in Crores	487.70
2	KTPS-VI	Rs. in Crores	596.54
3	KTPS-VII	Rs. in Crores	1453.93
4	RTS-B	Rs. in Crores	335.79
5	KTPP-I	Rs. in Crores	432.86
6	KTPP-II	Rs. in Crores	872.32
7	BTPS	Rs. in Crores	1787.39
<b>II. HYDEL</b>			
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	Rs. in Crores	430.09
9	Srisailem LB HES	Rs. in Crores	520.56
10	Small Hydel	Rs. in Crores	60.96
11	Mini Hydel	Rs. in Crores	11.19
12	Pochampad- II HES	Rs. in Crores	12.17
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	Rs. in Crores	127.68
14	Lower Jurala HES	Rs. in Crores	288.29
15	Pulichintala HES	Rs. in Crores	111.20

**STATION-WISE ENERGY CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR  
FY 2023-24 (Rs. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	Station	Units	Values
<b>I. THERMAL</b>			
1	KTPS-V	Rs. in Crores	1213.834
2	KTPS-VI	Rs. in Crores	1118.084
3	KTPS-VII	Rs. in Crores	1964.284
4	RTS-B	Rs. in Crores	122.610
5	KTPP-I	Rs. in Crores	1133.637
6	KTPP-II	Rs. in Crores	1366.841
7	BTPS	Rs. in Crores	2287.688
<b>II. HYDEL</b>			
..	..	..	..
..	..	..	..

**SUMMARY OF CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24  
(Rs. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	COMPONENTS	Units	Values
1	Fixed Charges	Rs. in Crores	968.17
2	Additional Pension Liability	Rs. in Crores	138.70
3	Water Charges	Rs. in Crores	-50.35
4	Energy Charges	Rs. in Crores	-234.69
5	PRC for FY 2022-23*	Rs. in Crores	271.69
	<b>TOTAL TRUE UP CLAIM</b>	Rs. in Crores	<b>1093.52</b>

\* Note: The Actual True up claim will be as determined by Hon'ble TGERC after sharing gain and losses.

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE  
TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Vidyut Niyamtran Bhavan, G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad- 500045.

**TELANGANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED**

**PUBLIC NOTICE**

1. Notice is hereby given to all that Telangana Power Generation Corporation Limited (TGGENCO), has filed, before the Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (TGERC), for True-up for FY 2023-24 for the existing thermal and hydel stations under Section 62/64 and 86(1)(a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and read with the Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019 and Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi Year Tariff) Regulation No 2 of 2023 on 23.06.2025.

2. Copies of the filings referred are available at the office of the  
(i) The Chief Engineer/ Coal & Commercial/Room No. 255, TGGENCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad -500082.  
and at the offices of the Generating Stations of TGGENCO at  
(ii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kothagudem TPS-V&VI Stages, New Paloncha, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt), Telangana -507115.,  
(iii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kothagudem TPS-VII Stage, New Paloncha, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt), Telangana -507115.,  
(iv) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Kakatiya TPP-I&II, Chelapur (V), Ganpur (M), Jayashankar Bhupalapally (Dt.), Telangana-506168.,  
(v) The Chief Engineer, O&M, Bhadradi TPS, Manuguru, Bhadradi Kothagudem (Dt.), Telangana-507115.,  
(vi) The Chief Engineer, O&M, SLBPH, TGGENCO, SRISAILAM DAM (West), Eegalapenta, Nagarkurnool (Dt.), Telangana -509326.  
(vii) The Chief Engineer, O&M, NSPH, TGGENCO, NSHES, Nagarjuna Sagar Pylon, Nagarjuna Sagar, Nalgonda (Dt.), Telangana -508203.

Interested persons may inspect/peruse the said filings and take note thereof during office hours at any of the said offices free of cost. These proposals are also available on [www.tggenco.com](http://www.tggenco.com). A copy of these filings can be obtained from the above offices from 02.08.2025 onwards on payment of photocopying charges. The petition may also be accessed at TGERC website [www.tggenco.com](http://www.tggenco.com).

3. Objections/suggestions, if any, on the filings, together with supporting material may be sent to the Chief Engineer/ Coal & Commercial/ Room No. 255/ TGGENCO, Vidyut Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad-500082 in person or through Registered Post so as to reach on or before 23.08.2025 by 5 pm. A copy of the same must also be filed with the Secretary, TGERC, at the address mentioned above. The objections/suggestions should be duly signed and should carry full name and postal address, email id and contact number of the person(s) sending the objections/suggestions. If the objections/suggestions are filed on behalf of any organization or any category of consumers, it should be clearly mentioned. If the objector also wants to be heard in person it may also be specifically mentioned. The Objection/Suggestion should accompany the following statement.

Name & full address of the Objector along with e-mail id and contact number	Brief details of objection(s)/ Suggestion(s)	Whether copy of objection & proof of delivery at TGGENCO office enclosed (Yes/No)	Whether Objector wants to be heard in person (Yes/No)

4. The gist of the filings of TGGENCO True up Petition for FY 2023-24 are indicated in the Schedule below.

5. Telangana Electricity Regulatory Commission intends to conduct a Public Hearing at TGERC Court Hall on 12.09.2025 (Saturday) from 11.30 Hrs onwards. Stakeholders desiring to be heard in person shall inform to the Commission Secretary, TGERC before the date of public hearing. **Place: Hyderabad.**  
**Date: 02.08.2025.**

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR  
TGGENCO

**SCHEDULE**  
**STATION-WISE ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR**  
**FY 2023-24 (RS. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	Station	Units	Values
<b>I. THERMAL</b>			
1	KTPS-V	Rs. in Crores	487.70
2	KTPS-VI	Rs. in Crores	596.54
3	KTPS-VII	Rs. in Crores	1453.93
4	RTS-B	Rs. in Crores	135.79
5	KTPP-I	Rs. in Crores	432.86
6	KTPP-II	Rs. in Crores	872.32
7	BTPS	Rs. in Crores	1787.39
<b>II. HYDEL</b>			
8	Nagarjuna Complex HES	Rs. in Crores	430.09
9	Srisaillam LB HES	Rs. in Crores	520.56
10	Small Hydel	Rs. in Crores	60.96
11	Mini Hydel	Rs. in Crores	11.19
12	Pochampad- II HES	Rs. in Crores	12.17
13	Priyadarshini Jurala HES	Rs. in Crores	127.68
14	Lower Jurala HES	Rs. in Crores	288.29
15	Pulichintala HES	Rs. in Crores	111.20

**STATION-WISE ENERGY CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR**  
**FY 2023-24 (RS. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	Station	Units	Values
<b>I. THERMAL</b>			
1	KTPS-V	Rs. in Crores	1213.834
2	KTPS-VI	Rs. in Crores	1118.084
3	KTPS-VII	Rs. in Crores	1964.284
4	RTS-B	Rs. in Crores	122.610
5	KTPP-I	Rs. in Crores	1133.637
6	KTPP-II	Rs. in Crores	1366.841
7	BTPS	Rs. in Crores	2287.688
<b>II. HYDEL</b>			
--	----		
--	----		

**SUMMARY OF CHARGES CLAIMED IN THE TRUE UP FOR FY 2023-24**  
**(RS. CRORE)**

Sl.No.	COMPONENTS	Units	Values
1	Fixed Charges	Rs. in Crores	968.17
2	Additional Pension Liability	Rs. in Crores	138.70
3	Water Charges	Rs. in Crores	-50.35
4	Energy Charges	Rs. in Crores	-234.69
5	PRC for FY: 2022-23*	Rs. in Crores	271.69
	<b>TOTAL TRUE UP CLAIM</b>	Rs. in Crores	<b>1093.52</b>

\* Note: The Actual True up claim will be as determined by Hon'ble TGERC after sharing gain and losses.

DIPR R O No.445-PP/CL.AGENCY/ADVT/1/2025-26 Dt:01.08.2025



5 AUG 2025

EENADU

గౌరవనీయ తెలంగాణ విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ మండలి సమక్షంలో విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ భవన్, జి.టి.ఎస్.కాలనీ, కల్యాణ నగర్, హైదరాబాద్-500045.

**తెలంగాణ పవర్ జనరేషన్ కార్పొరేషన్ లిమిటెడ్**

**02.08.2025 తేదీ నాటి బహిరంగ ప్రకటనకు సవరణ**

02.08.2025 తేదీ నాటి బహిరంగ ప్రకటన లోని పేరా - 5లో తెలిపిన బహిరంగ విచారణ తేదీని 12.09.2025 (శనివారం)కు బదులుగా 12.09.2025 (శుక్రవారం) అని చదువుకోవాలి.

చి/ -  
చైర్మన్ & మేనేజింగ్ డైరెక్టర్,  
TGGENCO

స్థలం: హైదరాబాద్  
తేదీ: 05.08.2025

DIPR R.O. No. 6040-PP/CL/ADVT/1/2025-26, Dt: 04.08.2025

0 5 AUG 2025

DECCAN CHRONICLE

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE**  
**TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Viduyt Niyantaran Bhavan, G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad-500045.

**TELANGANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED**

**CORRIGENDUM TO THE PUBLIC NOTICE, Dated: 02.08.2025**

"The day of Public Hearing mentioned under Para-5 of the Public Notice dated 02.08.2025 may be read as 12.09.2025 (Friday) instead of 12.09.2025 (Saturday)."

Place: Hyderabad. Sd/-  
Date: 05.08.2025. CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR  
TGGENCO

DIPR R.O.No:482-PP/CL/AGENCY/ADVT/1/2025-26 Dt:04.08.2025

THE HINDU

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE**  
**TELANGANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Viduyt Niyantaran Bhavan, G.T.S. Colony, Kalyan Nagar, Hyderabad-500045.

**TELANGANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED**

**CORRIGENDUM TO THE PUBLIC NOTICE, Dated: 02.08.2025**

"The day of Public Hearing mentioned under Para-5 of the Public Notice dated 02.08.2025 may be read as 12.09.2025 (Friday) instead of 12.09.2025 (Saturday)."

Place: Hyderabad. Sd/-  
Date: 05.08.2025. CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR  
TGGENCO

DIPR R.O.No: 482-PP/CL/AGENCY/ADVT/1/2025-26 Dt:04.08.2025

ANDHRA JYOTHI

గౌరవనీయ తెలంగాణ విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ మండలి సమక్షంలో విద్యుత్ నియంత్రణ భవన్, జి.టి.ఎస్.కాలనీ, కల్యాణ నగర్, హైదరాబాద్-500045.

**తెలంగాణ పవర్ జనరేషన్ కార్పొరేషన్ లిమిటెడ్**

**బహిరంగ ప్రకటన తేదీ: 02.08.2025కు సవరణ**

బహిరంగ ప్రకటన, తేదీ: 02.08.2025లోని పేరా 5 క్రింద పేర్కొన్న బహిరంగ విచారణ తేదీని 12.09.2025 (శనివారం) బదులుగా 12.09.2025 (శుక్రవారం)గా చదువు కోవాలి.

ప్రదేశం: హైదరాబాద్ చి/ - చైర్మన్ & మేనేజింగ్ డైరెక్టర్  
తేదీ: 05.08.2025 TGGENCO

DIPR RO No.6040-PP/CL/ADVT/1/2025-26, Dt: 04.08.2025

0 5 AUG 2025

SIA-SAT

به اجلاس معزز  
تلمگانه اليكٹریسیٹی ریگولیشن کمییشن  
ویدوت نیانتارن بھون۔ جی. ٹی. ایس. کالونی، کلین نگر، حیدرآباد۔ 500045

**تعلقات پاور جنریشن کارپوریشن لمیٹڈ**

تصحیح واپس لے لائن عام: 02-08-2025 کے 5 کے تحت حذر مانت مار کے ان کے طرح  
12-09-2025 (جم) پڑھایا ہے۔ 12-09-2025 (بنو) کے۔

شرعیہ دستخط: مقام: حیدرآباد۔  
05-08-2025

TGGENCO DIPR R.O.No: 482-PP/CL/AGENCY/ADVT/1/2025-26 Dt:04.08.2025



**ANNEXURE-III: LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS WHO SUBMITTED  
WRITTEN OBJECTIONS/SUGGESTIONS**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name and address of the stakeholders</b>	<b>Date of receiving Objection</b>
1	M. Venugopala Rao Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies , H.No.1-100/MP/101, Monarch Prestige, Journalists Colony, Serilingampally Mandal , Hyderabad - 500 032	21.08.2025
2	TGDISCOMS represented by TGSPDCL, Mint compound, Hyderabad	23.08.2025
3	M. Thimma Reddy Convener, People's Monitoring Group on Electricity Regulation. House No. 3-4-107/1, Plot No. 39, Radha Krishna Nagar, Attapur, Hyderabad- 500 048.	23.08.2025

**ANNEXURE-IV: LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS WHO PARTICIPATED IN  
PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON 17.09.2025**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name and address of the stakeholders</b>
1	TGSPDCL on behalf of both the TGDISCOMs.